

BEFORE THE MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL, NAGPUR. AT NAGPUR. (Presided over R.S.Salgaonkar, Member, M.A.C.T-2, Nagpur)	
M.A.Claim Petition No.	325/25
Exh. No.	Below Exh.14.
Keshao Bhalerao	Petitioner
Versus	
Neeta Thakur +1	Respondent

Order as to exhibiting of documents

This is a quasi Judicial proceeding before Tribunal. The basic rules of evidence particularly, about format proof are not applicable to tribunal. Procedure and Powers of accident Claim Tribunal (MACT) are defined in 169 18 of M.V. Act, 1988 which expressly provides for summary procedure. Therefore, for identification purpose, all the documents tendered in evidence are admitted and be exhibited distinctly. Every such document will be read in evidence as this a summary procedure. Medical bills and vouchers are admitted in evidence as per the ratio is the case of **Dr.Dattatray Laxman Shinde V/s. Nana Raghunath Hire [(2011)6 All M R 646 (Bom.)]** dead by Honourable Bombay High Court. It is with rider that, disability certificate (if any) and income certificate from private enterprise (if any) shall not be read in evidence unless formal proof as to contains are given.

The documents admitted are given exhibit number as follows-

Exhibit No.	Description of document
16	Attested copy of Form AA
17	Attested copy of FIR
18	Attested copy of Crime details form

19	Xerox copy of Fitness certificate
20	Xerox copy of Authorization certificate
21	Xerox copy of P.U.C.
22	Xerox copy of Vehicle particulars
23	Xerox copy of Driving licence
24	Xerox copy of Insurance policy
25	Xerox copy of Aadhar card of Virpal
26	Xerox copy of Driving licence
27	Original copy of Bill
28	Photocopy
29	Photocopy
30	Xerox copy of R.C.
31	Xerox copy of Aadhar card of Keshav
32	Xerox copy of Pan card
33	Xerox copy of Bank passbook

[Evidence of witness No.1 for the Petitioner]

On solemn affirmation I depose that

My Name – Keshao Shamrao Bhalerao.

Aged about – 72 Years,

Occupation- Retired.

Address- Kanhan, Dist.Nagpur.,

[Examination-in-Chief by Adv.Smt.N.S.Dandge holding for Adv. Smt.M.H.Pathade Petitioner]-

1] I have tendered my evidence on affidavit. Its contents are true and correct.

[Cross-Examination by Adv.Smt.S.S.Dehadrai for Respondent No.2]-(For insurance)

2] I have not seen the accident. I have not seen the spot of accident after the occurrence of the accident. It is true to say that, my son Prajwal was driving the vehicle at the relevant time. It is not true to say that, the accident took place due to negligence of Prajwal. It is not true to say that, I am deposing falsely that the

Truck No. MH/40-AK-6826 was not involved in the accident. It is not true to say that, I have filed false bill. It is not true to say that, my claim is false and excessive. It is not true to say that, I am deposing falsely.

[Claim petition proceeded ex-parte against Respondent No.1]

[Cross-Examination Completed].

[Re-Examination Nil]

[Read over and admitted to be correct.]

Place : Nagpur

(Ratnakar S. Salgaonkar)

Dated : 16/12/2025

Member, M.A.C.T.-2, Nagpur.