

BEFORE THE MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL, NAGPUR. AT NAGPUR. (Presided over R.S.Salgaonkar, Member, M.A.C.T-2, Nagpur)	
M.A.Claim Petition No.	366/24
Exh. No.	Below Exh.15
Mangala Thengare	Petitioner
Versus	
The New India Assurance Co.Ltd	Respondent

[Evidence of witness No.1 for the Petitioner]

On solemn affirmation I depose that

My Name – Mangala Laxman Thengare

Aged about - 39 Years,

Occupation - Household.,

Address – Vakarla, Tah.Chamur, Dist.Chandrapur.

**[Examination-in-Chief by Adv.Smt.Arachana Bawariya holding for
Adv.Shri.P.S.Mirache for Petitioner]-**

1] I have tendered my evidence on affidavit. Its contents are true and correct.

(**Order as to exhibiting of documents**

This is a quasi Judicial proceeding before Tribunal. The basic rules of evidence particularly, about format proof are not applicable to tribunal. Procedure and Powers of accident Claim Tribunal (MACT) are defined in 169 18 of M.V. Act, 1988 which expressly provides for summary procedure. Therefore, for identification purpose, all the documents tendered in evidence are admitted and be exhibited distinctly. Every such document will be read in evidence as this a summary procedure. Medical bills and vouchers are admitted in evidence as per the ratio is the case of **Dr.Dattatray Laxman Shinde V/s. Nana Raghunath Hire [(2011)6**

All M R 646 (Bom.)] decided by Honourable Bombay High Court. It is with rider that, disability certificate (if any) and income certificate from private enterprise (if any) shall not be read in evidence unless formal proof as to contains are given.)

The documents admitted are given exhibit number as follows -

- Attested copy of Form AA, **Exhibit No.21**
- Attested copy of FIR, **Exhibit No.22**
- Attested copy of Crime detail form, **Exhibit No.23**
- Attested copy of Inquest report, **Exhibit No.24**
- Attested copy of PM. report, **Exhibit No.25**
- Xerox copy of R.C., **Exhibit No.26**
- Xerox copy of Driving licence, **Exhibit No.27**
- Attested copy of Policy, **Exhibit No.28**
- Attested copy of Authorization certificate, **Exhibit No.29**
- Attested copy of Permit, **Exhibit No.30**
- Attested copy of Fitness certificate, **Exhibit No.31**
- Xerox copy of Aadhar card of Petitioner No.1, **Exhibit No.32**
- Xerox copy of Pan card of Petitioner No.1, **Exhibit No.33**
- Xerox copy of Bank statement of Petitioner No.1, **Exhibit No.34**
- Xerox copy of Aadhar card of Petitioner No.2, **Exhibit No.35**
- Xerox copy of Bank account of Petitioner No.2, **Exhibit No.36**
- Xerox copy of Aadhar card of Petitioner No.3, **Exhibit No.37**

[Cross-Examination by Adv.Shri.Aditya Joshi for Respondent No.1]-(For insurance)

2] It is true to say that, I am not the eye witness to the accident. It is not true to say that, the accident took place due to negligence of my husband. It is not true to say that, the accident took place due to negligence of driver of vehicle no. MH/34-BG-8861. It is not true to say that, I am deposing falsely that the accident took place due to negligence of driver of Truck No.RJ/11-GC-2125. It is not true to say that, I am deposing falsely

that he was earning Rs.15,000/- per month. It is not true to say that, my claim is false and excessive. It is not true to say that, I am deposing falsely.

[Cross-Examination by Adv.Shri.S.R.Bhatkhore for Respondent No.2]-absent when called hence no cross-examination.

[Cross-Examination Completed].

[Re-Examination Nil]

[Read over and admitted to be correct.]

Place : Nagpur

(Ratnakar S. Salgaonkar)

Dated : 08/01/2026

Member, M.A.C.T.-2, Nagpur.