

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE-6,
NAGPUR.

M. C. A. No. 63/2026

A. K. Parekh.
....Vs....
The Nagpur Municipal Corporation and ors.

ORDER BELOW EXH. 13
(Passed on 05.03.2026)

1. Applicant filed this appeal challenging the order dated 30/01/2026 passed by Deputy Municipal Commissioner (Estate). The date was fixed yesterday and respondents filed their written statement and written submissions. The date fixed for argument is 7th March 2026. Applicant submitted that in the evening respondents came with Bulldozers and started demolition of the premises in his possession. The Corporation ought to have stopped further action till hearing of the appeal. If whole of the action of Corporation is completed the appeal will become infructuous and if order is passed in favour of appellant on merits there will be difficulty in restoration of the possession. Counsel for appellant prayed that the action of the demolition be stayed till 7th March 2026.
2. The NMC and its counsel were called repeatedly but they are absent. The Counsel for appellant submitted that copy of the application is given to the NMC by Whatsapp. The other side is having knowledge about the application for mainlining status-quo filed today. In such

circumstance, in my view in order avoid further complication it is necessary to issue status quo order to the respondents. So I proceed to pass following order.

ORDER

Respondents to maintain status quo till 7th March 2026 and stop Bulldozers action.

Nagpur.
Dt. 05.03.2026.

(M. S. Ganorkar)
District Judge-6, Nagpur.