In The Court of Additional Sessions Judge-6, Nagpur.

Presided over by
Shri. M. S. Ganorkar.

**Cri. Bail Application No.552 Of 2026**
CNR No: MHNG010114942023.
Crime No.655 of 2025, P. S. Ranapratapnagar.

Devesh s/o Mahesh Vajir.
V/s
State of Maharashtra.
(Through P.S. Ranapratapnagar.)

Order below Exh.1
(Date: 18th March 2026)

1. This is an application for issuance of directions as applicant/accused be released on bail, U/Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita. Applicant/accused booked in Crime No.655 of 2025, for the offences punishable U/Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 316(2), 61(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.

2. Perused the application along with documents annexed here with and say/report of Investigating Officer/APP. Heard both the sides at length.

3. In the light of this facts emerged, submissions by the parties and material on the record, the following points arise for determination before this Court. The said points and the findings thereon which follow the reasons as:

## Point For Determination.

| Sr. No. | Point | Finding |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Whether the applicant/accused made out the case as to issuance of direction as to be released on bail, in the given set of facts? | ...No. |
| 2. | What order? | ...Application rejected as per final order. |

### REASONS

***As to the point no.1:***

4. It is case of the applicant that on 28/10/2025 the complaint filed with Ranapratap Nagar police station for the offence under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 316(2), 61(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita. It is alleged that the informant got in contact with one Sumit Patle. The informant came at Nagpur and met accused Sumit and thereafter they both resided at Rohit Ahir, House at Hingna, Nagpur. The accused person by keeping the informant in the dark had influenced him to Register a Small Scale Industry in the name of Dipak Enterprises for doing new business and they opened a bank account at Bank of Baroda, Hingna Branch. The accused persons without doing any business had made illegal transaction in between 13/10/2025 and 27/10/2025 approximately Rs.1.73 Crore was received and transferred through the said account to various persons without knowledge of the complainant. During the course of investigation police searched Hotel "ATITHI" at Manewada, Nagpur and thereafter, in the present crime police arrested the applicant on 10/12/2025. On the basis of the said allegations, the complainant lodged report to the police station under the offence of BNS.

5. The applicant submitted that he is innocent person and has played no

role in the commission of present crime. The applicant has no nexus with the present crime. He has been falsely implicated in the present crime. There is no specific allegations against the present applicant. There is no material evidence connecting him with the alleged transaction. If released on bail he is ready to abide by all conditions Hence, prayed that application be allowed.

6. The Investigating officer opposed the application on the ground that the offence is serious. The applicant along with other accused in furtherance of common intention opened Bank Account of Bank of Baroda by name of Dipak Enterprises and made dealing during the period of 13/10/2025 to 27/10/2024 and committed the fraud dishonestly an amount of Rs.1,73,51,871/- and cheated. Investigating is not completed and if the applicant released on bail, he will tamper with the evidence and witnesses. Hence, prayed that the application be rejected.

7. Learned Counsel for applicant submitted that role of the applicant in the said crime is not active role. The applicant is behind bar merely on the suspicion ground and there is no direct or indirect nexus on the present applicant. The investigation is almost completed and no purpose would be served by keeping the accused in jail for indefinite period. He is poor person and only bread earner. If the applicant is released on bail he is ready to abide by all conditions. Hence, prayed for grant of bail.

8. Learned APP argued that all the accused in this case they conspired and committed Cyber offence. They collected huge amount from the account of common people. They cheated innocence and collected huge amount for their benefit. If they are released on bail they will repeat such offence in future. The applicant participated in the

offence and earned huge benefit. It is not case that all amount which was transferred to the account of applicant was immediately transferred to another account. Some amount remained in his account and applicant never complained about the receipt of such amount to the bank or to other authority. The applicant has knowledge about the amount deposed in his account he used the amount for his own benefit. Hence, the applicant is not entitled for bail. He prayed for rejection of the application.

9. I perused the documents produced on record. If the facts of the case are seen then the applicant was present on the spot and caught in raid which was conducted in Hotel Atithi Inn. The applicant opened in the name of Avjir Auto Mobiles and transaction of Rs.97,95,664/- was done in that account. The mobile phone seized from the accused was used in commission Online fraud. One Sim in the name of applicant and another Sim in the name of his mother. The presence of accused was frequently seen in the Hotel Atithi Inn, Hotel Bos Inn with other accused the involvement of the applicant is appearing in the offence. Money trail is yet to be fully investigated. If the applicant is released on bail he may tamper with the evidence and may repeat similar offence. Hence, he is not entitled for bail. So application deserves to be rejected. Hence, I proceed to pass following order.

**ORDER**

1. Application is hereby rejected.

Dictated and pronounced in open Court.

DISTRICT COURTS MAHARASHTRA
सत्यमेव जयते

Digitally signed by GANORKAR MANISH SHRIRAM
Date: 2026.03.18 18:06:31 +0530

(Manish S. Ganorkar)
Additional Sessions Judge-6,Nagpur.

Date : 18th March 2026.