



In The Court of Additional Sessions Judge-6, Nagpur.  
Presided over by  
Shri. M. S. Ganorkar.

**Cri. Bail Application No.397 Of 2026.**

CNR No: MHNG010019252026.

Crime No.61 of 2026, P. S. Ranapratap Nagar.

Vinay S/o Purushottam Bhange.  
V/s  
State of Maharashtra.  
(Through P.S. Ranapratap Nagar)

Order below Exh.1  
(Date: 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2026)

1. This is an application for issuance of directions as applicant/accused be released on bail in the event of his arrest as anticipatory bail, U/Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita. Applicant/accused booked in Crime No.61 of 2026, for the offences punishable U/Sections 305, 324(2), 330, 189(2), 190, 191(2), 351(3), 352 and 126(2) of Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita.
2. Perused the application along with documents annexed here with and say/report of Investigating Officer/APP. Heard both the sides at length.
3. In the light of this facts emerged, submissions by the parties and material on the record, the following points arise for determination before this Court. The said points and the findings thereon which follow the reasons as:

**Point For Determination.**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Finding</b>
1.	Whether the applicant/accused made out the case as to issuance of direction as to be released on bail in the event of his arrest as anticipatory bail, in the given set of facts?	...Yes.
2.	What order?	...Application Allowed as per final order.

**REASONS*****As to the point no.1:***

4. It is submitted by the applicant that the applicant filed application for grant of anticipatory bail in crime No.61 of 2026 for the offence under Sections 305, 324(2), 330, 189(2), 190, 191(2), 351(3), 352 and 126(2) of Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita registered with police station Ranapratap Nagar. It is alleged that the complainant has purchased the plot in question from Bharti Gupta and on 02/02/2026 the applicant erected shed compound and broke the earlier iron gate and lock and also threatened the complainant. Hence, the complainant lodged the complaint and registered the crime against the applicant.
5. The applicant submitted that he has not committed any offence. He had been falsely implicated in the crime. He has no criminal antecedents. He is innocent. If the applicant released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to abide by all conditions imposed by the Court and will not tamper with the evidence and witnesses and will not misuse the liberty or not to flee beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. He prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.
6. Learned APP and the Investigating officer did not raise any issue for rejection of the anticipatory bail.

7. Learned Counsel for the applicant argued that in December 2025 a police official entered the ancestral property bearing Kh.No.72, 73, 74 and 76/1. The said property is in disputes since last 42 years. This is civil dispute and out of Civil dispute the false report has been lodged against him. The property belongs to him and it is a valuable property. Some anti-social elements are trying to grab his property. Earlier this Court granted ad-anticipatory bail on 06/02/2026. He prayed for ad-interim protection be continued.
8. After perusal of say of I. O. and record it appears that this is civil dispute between accused and applicant. Prima facie his involvement in crime is not coming on record. The applicant is not having criminal antecedents, but only reason the dispute to grab the property between accused and complainant the applicant cannot be made accused in the said offence. The photographs placed on record it shows that this is property dispute and in civil nature. Earlier this Court has granted ad-interim protection to the accused. The offence is not punishable with death or imprisonment of life. If the applicant is ready to abide by all condition if released on bail. In my view there is no need of custodial interrogation of applicant. Hence, the ad-interim protection given to him is required to be continued till filling of charge-sheet. Hence, proceed to pass following order.

**ORDER**

1. Application is allowed.
2. The earlier order passed below Exh.3 dated 06/02/2026 is made absolute subject to same conditions. However, the earlier condition No.3 regarding attendance of applicant is modified as appearance the applicant shall make available himself to the investigating officer as and when called and every Saturday

4

between 10.00 am to 1.00 pm till filling of the charge-sheet and co-operate with the investigation.

Dictated and pronounced in open Court

(Manish S. Ganorkar)

Date : 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2026.

Additional Sessions Judge-6,Nagpur.