

BEFORE MEMBER, MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL-1,
NAGPUR

(Presided over by P. B. Naikwad)

MACP No.22/2024

Rubi Vs. Hardik + 1

ORDER BELOW EXH.16
(Passed on 27th March 2025)

Perused the application and the say filed by petitioner. Heard both learned counsels. Respondent No.1 has filed the present application for permission to file reply on record. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submitted that, respondent has engaged another counsel and after going through the documents he prepared written statement therefore written statement was not filed within time. Therefore, no w.s. order came to be passed. Hence the permission to file w.s. be granted. Petitioner by his say given no objection.

2] Having regard to the submissions made by both learned counsels and considering the nature of dispute I am of the opinion that, it is necessary to give an opportunity to respondent No.1 to defend the claim. Therefore in the interest of justice and for just decision of the claim, it is necessary to grant permission to file written statement on record. However for delay respondent is liable to pay costs. Hence I pass the following order.

ORDER

- i) Application is allowed.
- ii) Permission is granted to file written statement on record, subject to payment of costs of Rs.300/-.
- iii) Respondent shall deposit the costs of Rs.300/- with legal aid. On depositing the costs w.s. be taken on record.

Date : 27.03.2025

(P. B. Naikwad)
Member, M.A.C.T.1,
Nagpur.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P. D. F. file of order are word to word, as per original order.

(S.R. Chaple)
Stenographer (Grade-I)