

Execution (COMM) No.: 314/24

Vimal Goel

v.

Mukesh Saini

25.10.2025

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate for the decree holder.
Mr. Vikas, Advocate, proxy for counsel for the judgment debtor through Cisco Webex Meetings.

Reply to the application under Order XXI Rules 11A and 37 read with section 151 of CPC made on behalf of the decree holder for arrest of the judgment debtor not filed. Further time sought on behalf of the judgment debtor to file reply to the said application. In the interest of justice last opportunity is granted to the judgment debtor to file reply to the application. The judgment debtor is directed to remain present in the court on the next date.

It is submitted by Ms. Ritika, Manager, Union Bank of India, Sadar Bazar Branch, Delhi, who appears through Cisco Webex Meetings that the judgment debtor has been maintaining a current account No. 307501010134300 in the name of M/s Ghanshyam Auto Industries with the Union Bank of India, Sadar Bazar, New Delhi. Regarding the said account she also caused produced a statement of account through Mr. Ajay Singh, PTS, Union Bank of India, Sadar Bazar Branch, Delhi.

At the request of counsel for the decree holder, on filing PF etc. within seven days by the decree holder issue warrant of attachment

Contd...

to attach the money lying in account No. 307501010134300 of the judgment debtor in the name of M/s Ghanshyam Auto Industries maintained with Union Bank of India, Sadar Bazar, New Delhi, returnable on the next date.

Put up for return of warrant of attachment against the judgment debtor and reply and consideration on the application under Order XXI Rules 11A and 37 read with section 151 of CPC made on behalf of the decree holder for arrest and detention of the judgment debtor on 21.11.2025.

(MANOJ KUMAR-1)
District Judge (Commercial Court)-05
(Central)/ THC/ Delhi/ 25.10.2025