

7 CS (COMM.) 882/24

State Bank Of India Vs. MR VINIT GOLDY

19.11.2025 (At 10:16 AM)

Present : Sh. A. K. Raut, ld. counsel for plaintiff.
Ms. Sheetal Sharma, new AR of plaintiff.

An application has been filed for substitution of new AR. Heard and perused. Application is allowed.

One affidavit of Mr. Rohit Kumar from plaintiff bank has been filed to state that defendant was not found residing at his given address, nor was he working in the same company as provided by him at the time of taking loan. Similar kind of report has been received on summons. An application under Order V Rule 20 CPC has been filed for service of defendant through substituted means. It is pleaded that no other address of defendant is available with the plaintiff. In such circumstances, defendant be served by way of affixation of the summons at his last known residential address. Summons be also published in one Hindi and one English newspaper in circulation in the area of Delhi. Plaintiff is given option to make choice of suitable newspapers which are in circulation in Delhi and plaintiff shall take steps in advance to get this publication done before next date of hearing. Summons be given dasti for the purpose of affixation and publication. Put up on **30.01.2026**.

Pulastya Pramachala
District Judge (Commercial Court)-01
Patiala House Court, New Delhi.
19.11.2025