

MHND010013522026



IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS, AT NANDED DIST. NANDED.

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 476 OF 2026

IN

(CR NO. 230/2026 of Bhagyanagar Police Station)

1. **Sarang s/o Narayan Koyalakar**

Age : 55 years Occu: Labour

2. **Ramdas @ Swaraji s/o Dnyanoba
Kamabale**

Age : 25 years Occu: Labour

3. **Omakar s/o Pandurag Wanajare**

Age : 25 years Occu: Labour

R/o. Naviwadi Purna road, Nanded

Ta. & Dist. Nanded

...Applicants

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra

(At the instance of Bhagyanagar Police
Station)

....Respondent

-:Appearance :-

Ld. Advocate Mr. E.S. Ramtirthkar for the applicants.

Ld. APP Mrs. M.A Battula for State.

CORAM : ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-1, NANDED

SHRI. T.T. AGLAWE,

:- ORDER :-

(Date : 24.06.2026)

The applicants have filed present application for releasing them on bail in connection with Crime No. 230 of 2026, registered

with Bhagyanagar Police Station, for the offences under Section 103, 61(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (in short 'BNS') and section 4/25 of the Arms Act.

2. Perused application and reply of Investigating Officer below Exh. 05.

3. Heard Learned advocate for the applicants and learned Addl. PP for the State.

4. The case against applicants is that the applicant and co-accused committed criminal conspiracy and accordingly co-accused killed the informant by a dagger.

5. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that as per FIR the main parties were having previous dispute on account of money. FIR does not name present accused. The applicants are arrested on the basis of statement of accused No.1. The statement of co-accused is not admissible against the present applicants. There is no evidence against the applicants. FIR does not state pre-meeting of mind. There is no evidence of motive or intention. The applicants are not involved in the offence in any manner. Therefore, the applicants may be released on bail.

6. On the other hand, the Ld. APP has strongly opposed for grant of bail stating that the offence is serious. The victim has sustained 64 injuries. The investigation is going on. Applicants may

tamper with the evidence, if released on bail.

7. Perused record and case diary. The incident is dated 24-06-2026. FIR states about previous dispute in between the informant and accused named in the FIR. Inquest panchnama shows many injuries on the body of the deceased caused by sharp weapon. The weapon used in the offence has been seized from the co-accused. The reply of the I.O states about involvement of present applicants in the sense that they have aided the main accused in commission of crime and there was criminal conspiracy. The offence is serious and for that death penalty is provided in law. The investigation is going on. The applicants may tamper with the prosecution evidence and may try to influence eye witness, if released on bail pending investigation. Therefore, this is not fit case for grant of bail at this stage. Hence, order :-

ORDER

Application is rejected.

Date : 24.06.2026

(T.T. Aglawe)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Nanded.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file order are same, word to word, as per the original order.

Name of stenographer	Y.S. Kausadikar, Grade-1
Name of Court	Addl. Sessions Court-1, Nanded
Date of Dictation	24.06.2026
Order signed by the PO on	24.06.2026
Order uploaded on	24.06.2026

Visit **ecourts.gov.in** for updates or download mobile app “**eCourts Services**” from Android or iOS