

MHND010013292026



IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS, AT NANDED DIST. NANDED.

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 458 OF 2026

IN

(CR NO. 230/2026 of Bhagyanagar Police Station)

Sanket Gorakhnath Duthade

Age : 25 years Occu: Labourer

R/o. Navi Wadi Purna road, Nanded

Ta. & Dist. Nanded

...Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra

(At the instance of Bhagyanagar Police
Station)

....Respondent

-:Appearance :-

Ld. Advocate Mr. PS Hake for the applicant.

Ld. APP Mrs. M.A Battula for State.

CORAM : ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-1, NANDED

SHRI. T.T. AGLAWE,

:- ORDER :-

(Date : 18.06.2026)

The applicant has filed present application for releasing him on bail in connection with Crime No. 230 of 2025, registered with Bhagyanagar Police Station, for the offences under Section 103, 61(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (in short 'BNS') and section 4/25 of the Arms Act.

2. Perused application and reply of Investigating Officer below Exh. 05.

3. Heard Learned advocate for the applicant and learned Addl. PP for the State.

4. The case against applicant is that the applicant and co-accused committed criminal conspiracy and accordingly co-accused killed the informant by a dagger.

5. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that parties were having previous dispute on account of money. FIR does not name present accused. The applicant is arrested on the basis of statement of accused No.1. The statement of co-accused is not admissible against the present applicant. There is no evidence against the applicant. The applicant is not involved in the offence in any manner. Therefore, the applicant may be released on bail.

6. On the other hand, the Ld. APP has strongly opposed for grant of bail stating that the offence is serious. The victim has sustained 64 injuries. The investigation is going on. Applicant may tamper with the evidence, if released on bail.

7. Perused record and case diary. The incident is dated 24-06-2026. FIR states about previous dispute in between the informant and accused named in the FIR. Inquest panchnama shows many injuries on the body of the deceased caused by sharp weapon. The

weapon used in the offence has been seized from the co-accused. The reply of the I.O states about involvement of present applicant in the sence that he has aided the main accused in commission of crime and there was criminal conspiracy. The offence is serious and for that death penalty is provided in law. The investigation is going on. The applicant may tamper with the prosecution evidence and may try to influence eye witness, if released on bail pending investigation. Therefore, this is not fit case for grant of bail at this stage. Hence, order :-

ORDER

Application is rejected.

Date : 18.06.2026

(T.T. Aglawe)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Nanded.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file order are same, word to word, as per the original order.

Name of stenographer	Y.S. Kausadikar, Grade-1
Name of Court	Addl. Sessions Court-1, Nanded
Date of Dictation	18.06.2026
Order signed by the P.O on	18.06.2026
Order uploaded on	18.06.2026

Visit **ecourts.gov.in** for updates or download mobile app “**eCourts Services**” from Android or iOS