

CNR No: PBPT010046272016

CIS No: ARB/167/2016

SURAJ BHAN VS PUNJAB STATE WAREHOUSING CORPORATION
etc.

Present: Shri V.M. Gupta Advocate, counsel for Petitioner
Sh. Sudhir Kumar, counsel for respondent No.1.
Service of respondent No.2 dispensed with.

Matter has been listed for arguments. Arguments also partly heard. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner had stated at bar and given the offer that they are ready to settle the matter @ 9.95%, which has been alleged by the respondent in the beginning. He further submitted that if the respondent's department would make the payment immediately, then he is ready to settle the matter at this very rate though he is demanded at the initial rate of 1.10%. Learned counsel for the respondent on this account states that he wants to take direction from the concerned department and MD. The matter is very old one and parties are litigating at different forum qua this matter. Keeping in view of these facts and circumstances, counsel for the respondent/department apprised from the fact that he must take up the matter immediately with concerned MD and given the final report on 03.12.2018, failing which the court is constrained to summon the concerned MD.

Dharminder Paul Singla
UID no. PB0083
Additional District Judge
Patiala

Anil Kumar-Stenographer-II

Date of Order: 22.11.2018

Next Date: 03.12.2018

Purpose: