

Order Below Application dated 09.03.2026 in C.R.No.304/2025,
Case No.176/PW/2026

1. Ld. Advocate Smt. Kiran Vicky Jadhav, Sanad No.MH5623/2014 on behalf of accused Mr. Arun Sandu Dhaneshwar has filed present application for extension of permission to accused to attend further rituals of his deceased mother for the period of 12 days. Perused application and say filed by Ld. A.P.P. Heard Ld. Advocate Smt. Kiran Jadhav and Ld. A.P.P. Perused record.

2. Considering the fact that, the mother of the accused died on 07.03.2026, upon the application of accused, he is permitted to attend the death ceremonies and further ceremonies of his deceased mother for three days from 07.03.2026 in presence and security of police of Cyber Police Station (West Division), Mumbai.

3. In view of above order today the Cyber police was expected to submit the accused in the jail. This court has never ordered to produce the accused before this court today. At about 04.00 p.m. when the Ld. Advocate Smt. Jadhav has submitted this application and made submissions before the court for extension of aforesaid permission, the police staff Mr. B. B. Chirmade Badge No.210781 and Mr. Manje Badge No.214444 present before the court with the accused. Upon inquiry by the court with the police staff attached to this court Shri. Mahale, Badge No.151661, Shri. Mahale submitted that Ld. Advocate Smt. Kiran Vicky Jadhav has directed the police to produce the accused before the court. When the court asked Ld. Advocate Smt. Kiran Jadhav about it, she admitted that she has directed the police to bring the accused before the court as she wants to get extension of aforesaid permission. The court

asked her under which authority she has ordered the police to bring the accused in the court. She submitted that she is the officer of the court and therefore, she can direct the police.

4. I do not think that, though the advocate, is officer of the court, can direct the police to do the thing which is not directed by the court or to omit to do the thing which is directed by the court. The conduct of the Ld. Advocate is an absolute interference in the administration of judicial work. Therefore, such act can not be bearable. Hence, for the aforesaid ground the application is not tenable. Accordingly, I proceed to pass the following order -

ORDER

- a. Application is rejected.
- b. The copy of this order be sent to the Secretary of Bar Council of Maharashtra and Goa for initiating action against Ld. Advocate Smt. Kiran Vicky Jadhav.
- c. The aforesaid incident be reported through the Hon'ble CJM, Esplanade, Mumbai to the Hon'ble Registrar General of Hon'ble High Court, Appellate Side, Bombay, vide circular No.Inspection-I/28/2026 dated 20.02.2026.

Date : 09.03.2026

(Girish S. Mane)
Judicial Magistrate (First Class)
12th Court, Bandra, Mumbai