

C.C. No.4303786/SS/2016 ...01...
C.W. No.1 on S.A.

Date: 13/08/2026.

Further cross-examination of C. W. No.1 Vinod Chauhan on oath, on behalf of accused by Advocate Shri.Shailesh Srivastav :-

1. It is true that I have also filed other two cases bearing C.C.No.1773/SS/2016 and 3786/SS/2016. It is true that the case bearing No.1773/SS/2016 is also against the accused Anilkumar, whereas the case bearing No.3786/SS/2016 is against accused Anilkumar as well as against his brother Sushilkumar. It is true that in the case bearing No.1772/SS/2016 the cheque is bearing No.000041. It is true that in the case bearing No.1773/SS/2016 the cheque is bearing No.000042. It is true that in the case bearing No.3786/SS/2016 the cheque Nos. are 000043, 000044 and 000045.

2. It is true that in all three cases the reply to the demand notice was given. It is true that in the case bearing No.3786/SS/2016 the accused have given reply to the demand notice wherein it was mentioned that accused Anilkumar has not issued the cheque and the cheque does not bear his signature. It is as well true that in all cases the accused in their reply have stated that they have not issued the cheques. Further more, they have also denied their signatures on the cheques.

3. It is true that, in all three cases, on cheques, there are no signatures of accused Anilkumar. It is true that Anilkumar has not issued any cheque. I have filed all these three cases only to harass accused. Witness states that I have filed the cases as there are names of both accused on the agreement executed between us. It is true that the story in all three cases is same except the cheques and the cheque return memos. It is true that I have not given any explanation in the complaint as to why I have obtained five cheques from accused rather than accepting one cheque.

..02..

4. It is true that I have fill all contents of all these cheques. It is not true that the accused were in need of loan from a co-operative society. It is not true that I told accused that I am having good familiarity with the co-operative credit society staff and I can easily avail loan and help them. It is not true that formal agreement was prepared in order to obtain loan only and no such transaction has taken place between us. It is not true that I had demanded five security cheques from accused for only purpose of obtaining loan from the co-operative credit society. It is not true that believing me, the accused have handed over me five cheques.

5. It is not true that when accused asked for return of those five cheques, I denied to hand over those cheques to them, citing reason that I am having the agreement and they have to follow whatever I tell to them. It is not true that accused have no liability towards me. It is not true that I have misused the cheques. It is not true that the I have filed false case and deposing false against the accused.

Cross-examination completed.

No Re-examination.

R. O. A. C.

Before me,

Date: 13/08/2026.

(S. A. KHALANE)
Metropolitan Magistrate,
43rd Court, Borivali, Mumbai.