



Received on :- 27/12/2023

Registered on :- 27/12/2023

Decided on :- 09/06/2026

Duration :- Ys. Ms. Ds.

02 -05 -13

EXHIBIT -24

IN THE COURT OF THE ADDL. CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,

31st COURT, VIKHROLI, MUMBAI.

(Presided over by P. V. Hingne)

(JUDGEMENT UNDER SECTION 355 OF CR. P. C.)

a) The Serial number of the case : 2492/PW/2023

CNR No.MHMM16-016626-2023

b) The date of the commission of offence : Since 04/11/2022 till 27/10/2023

c) The name of the informant if any : Parksite Police Station
C.R. No.668/2023.

d) The name of the accused : 1. Mohammed Hussain Afsar Ansari
persons their parentage and Age: 38 Years,
residence Occ: Service

2. Malikabanu Mohammed Afsar Ansari
Age: 59 Years,
Occ: Housewife

3. Nilam Mohammed Afsar Ansari
Age: 43 Years,
Occ: Nil

4. Munazhara Mohammed Afsar Ansari @ Manazhara Faizan Khan
Age: 41 Years,

Occ: Nil

5. Farin Mohammed Afsar Ansari
Age: 31 Years,
Occ: Nil

6. Afrin Mohammed Afsar Ansari
Age: 28 Years,
Occ: Nil
All are R/o. Room No.4714, Group
No.5A, Chawl No.408, Tagore
Nagar, Vikhroli, Mumbai

- e) The offence complained of or proved : U/Sec. 498-A, 406, 504, 323 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.
- f) The plea of the accused and his examination if any : Accused pleaded not guilty.
- g) Final order : Accused are acquitted as per final order.
- h) The date of such order : 09/06/2026.

Learned A. P. P. Miss Ashwini Patil for the State :

Learned Advocate Mr. Sundeep Singh for the accused persons.

J U D G E M E N T

(Delivered on this 9th day of June, 2026)

The accused persons are charged for the offences punishable under sections 498-A, 406, 504, 323 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860; (for short 'I. P. C').

2] Briefly stated the prosecution case is that, the informant Shahinsiraj Hussain Ansari lodged report at Parksite Police Station on 27/10/2023 alleging therein that the informant Shahinsiraj Hussain

Ansari lodged report at Parksite Police Station on 17/08/2023 alleging therein that she is wife of accused. After marriage till December 2022 everything was going well. Thereafter, all the accused persons started ill treating her with one or other count. The accused persons mentally and physically harassed her in order to meet their unlawful demand of money and they also misappropriated her stridhan. She therefore lodged report at Police station, Parksite. The crime was registered against the accused persons. The Investigating Officer PSI Shital Kanadkhedkar recorded the statements of relevant witnesses and submitted charge-sheet.

3] On the basis of said report, crime for the offences punishable under sections 498-A, 406, 504, 323 read with 34 of I.P.C came to be registered against the accused persons. They were apprehended. The Investigation Officer PSI Shital Kanadkhedkar of Parksite Police Station visited the spot and drew its panchnama.

4] The charge is framed by my Ld. Predecessor against the accused persons vide Exh-14. It was read over and explained to them. They pleaded innocence and claimed trial. There is no evidence against accused persons on record therefore their their statements under section 313 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') are not recorded.

5] The points for determination alongwith my finding thereon are as under :-

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>POINTS</u>	<u>FINDING</u>
1.	<i>Whether prosecution has proved that</i>	

	<i>the accused persons in furtherance of their common intention being husband and his other relatives subjected physical and mental cruelty to the informant?</i>	<i>In the negative.</i>
2.	<i>Does it further prove that the accused persons in furtherance of their common intention were entrusted stridhan and they dishonestly misappropriated the same for their use and thereby committed an offence of breach of trust in respect of the said entrusted property ?</i>	<i>In the negative.</i>
3.	<i>Whether the prosecution proved that the accused persons in furtherance of their common intention caused hurt to the informant?</i>	<i>In the negative.</i>
4.	<i>Whether the prosecution proved that accused persons in furtherance of their common intention caused hurt to the informant? .</i>	<i>In the negative.</i>
5.	<i>Whether the prosecution proved that the accused persons in furtherance of their common intention intentionally insulted the informant and thereby gave provocation to the informant</i>	

	<i>intending or knowing it to be likely that such provocation will cause the informant to break public peace?</i>	<i>In the negative.</i>
6.	<i>What order?</i>	<i>As per final order.</i>

REASONS

AS TO POINT NO.1 TO 6

7] In order to prove its case, the prosecution has examined only the informant Shahin (PW.1) (Exh-21). During the trial, both parties filed application for settlement of the matter vide Exh-23 and evidence closing pursis. Hence, evidence of prosecution was closed.

8] The informant Shahin (PW.1) stated that there was domestic dispute with her in laws. Therefore she lodged FIR. However she does not know the contents of FIR. She further admitted that at present she does not have any dispute with the accused persons therefore she does not wish to proceed further with the case. The sole prosecution witness i.e. victim /informant declined to support the prosecution by stating that due to the compromise between her and the accused persons, she does not want to proceed with the matter. Wherein, there is no incriminating evidence against accused persons so as to held them guilty. Accordingly, considering these factual matrix, I have given findings to Point Nos.1 to 5 in above manner. Therefore in my considerate view, I held that the accused persons are entitled for the acquittal and proceed to pass the following order:-

ORDER

1. The accused persons are acquitted of offences punishable

under sections 498-A, 406, 504, 323 read with 34 of IPC
vide section 248 (1) of Cr.P.C.

2. Their bail bonds stand cancelled.
3. On their requests, their earlier personal bonds are extended for the further period of six months in order to comply the provision of Section 437-A of Cr.P.C.
4. Judgment dictated and pronounced in open court.

Date: 09/06/2026

Place : Mumbai.

Dictated and directly typed on PC on : 09/06/2026

Transcribed on : 09/06/2026

Signed on : 09/06/2026

PGS/-

(P. V. Hingne)

Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate,
31st Court, Vikhroli, Mumbai