

**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE (POCSO ACT),
AT SOLAPUR.**

MHSO010005662026

Special Case No. 127/2026

**The State of Maharashtra
Vs.
Rushikesh Bhalerao**

Bail application under Section 483 of BNSS

Necessary Particulars.

A)	Case details:	
1.	FIR number and date	18/2026 dated 08.01.2026
2.	Police Station, District, State	Solapur Taluka Police Station, Solapur, Maharashtra.
3.	Sections invoked	U/secs. 64(1), 64(2), 64(2)(i), 64(2)(M), 351(3), 3(5) of BNS and U/secs. 4, 5(J)(2, 5(I), 6 & 8 of POCSO Act.
4.	Maximum Punishment prescribed	10 years Imprisonment.
B)	Custody and procedural compliance	
1.	Date of Arrest	08/02/2025
2.	Total period of custody undergone	101 days
C)	Status of trial :	
1.	Stage of proceeding	Investigation completed charge sheet has been committed and numbered as Spl. Session Case No. 127/2026
2.	Total number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet	NA
3.	Number of prosecution	NA

	witnesses examined	
D)	Criminal antecedents :	It is mentioned in the affidavit that the applicant has criminal antecedents.
1.	FIR and Police Station	No. It is mentioned in the affidavit that apart from the present FIR there is no any criminal case registered against him.
2.	Sections	Nil
3.	Status	Nil
1.	FIR and Police Station	Nil
2.	Sections	Nil
3.	Status	Nil
E)	Previous bail applications :	Nil
1.	Court	Special Court (POCSO), Solapur.
2.	Case number	Cri.Bail Appln.No.120/2026
3.	Outcome of case	Bail rejected.
F)	Coercive process :	N.A
1.	Whether any non-bailable warrant was issued	N.A.
2.	Whether declared a proclaimed offender	N.A.

ORDER BELOW EXH.04

(Passed on this 24th June, 2026)

The applicant has filed this bail application for grant of regular bail under section 482 of B.N.S.S. in connection with Crime No. 18/2026 registered with Solapur Taluka Police Station, Solapur for the offence punishable under sections 64(1), 64(2), 64(2)(i), 64(2)(M), 351(3), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and under sections 4, 5(J)(2, 5(I), 6 & 8 of the Protection of Children From Sexual Offences (POCSO) Act.

02. Perused application, say filed by the Learned APP (Exh.6) and affidavit of the victim at Exh.9. Heard learned advocate Mr. Jahagirdar appearing for the applicant and Ld. APP. Shri. Jannu.

03. It is the case of prosecution that father of the victim used to consume liquor and beat her mother. Due to which, mother of the victim went to her parental home. The victim went to reside in her aunt's house. On the day of incident, at about 12.30 am, nephew of the aunt of the victim namely Prashant came in her room. He removed her clothes and forcefully committed rape on her. He threatened her and therefore, she did not disclose the said incident to anybody. Later on also, Prashant committed penetrative sexual assault on her without her consent. On 07/01/2016, the victim was suffering from abdomen pain. She was taken to the Doctor Nagesh and later on, to one Maternity Home. The Doctor referred her to Civil Hospital, Solapur. In Civil Hospital, it was noticed that she had conceived pregnancy.

04. During the course of investigation, supplementary statement of the victim was recorded on 13/01/2026. She has disclosed name of the accused No.1 Rushikesh in the said statement. She has stated that in the month of August 2025, in the night hours, the accused No.1 Rushikesh had committed penetrative sexual assault on her. He also threatened her for not disclosing said incident. He has also kept sexual relations with her for 3-4 times.

05. Police arrested the accused No.1 Rushikesh on 09.02.2026. Earlier he filed an application for a regular bail bearing Cri.Bail Appln.No. 120/2026. But it came to be rejected by order

dated 17.03.2026. After filing of the chargesheet, the accused again filed present application.

06. Notice of this application is given to the victim. She appeared and filed reply at Exh.09. She objected the application on the ground that there is threat to his life and her mother from the applicant. The applicant is of criminal in nature. Therefore, the victim prayed for rejection of the application.

07. Learned advocate Mr.Jahagirdar submitted on behalf of the accused that the accused has been falsely implicated in the present crime. At the relevant time, he was at Satara for Police recruitment process. The victim has improved her version by giving various supplementary statement. Her statements are not consistent. Earlier bail application of the accused rejected mainly on the ground that investigation was in progress. Now the investigation is completed and Police submitted the chargesheet. Nothing is to be recovered or discovered at the instance of accused. No purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind the bar for infinite period. On all these ground, learned advocate for the accused prayed for allowing present application.

08. Per contra, learned prosecutor Shri. Jannu submitted that there are serious allegations against the accused. There are multiple episodes of sexual exploitation. The accused impregnated the victim. DNA report is awaiting. If the accused is released on bail, possibility of repetition of crime cannot be ruled out. Therefore, ld. prosecutor prayed for rejection of the application.

09. Perused the Charge-sheet and documents available on record. As per prosecution case due to quarrel between the parents, the victim used to stay in the house of accused no.4. During her stay accused No.1 Rushikesh and child in conflict with law kept forced physical relations with her. It is true that name of the applicant is not stated in the FIR. However, his name is mentioned in the supplementary statement dated 13.01.2026. A minor victim was abused while she was staying in the house of accused No.4. The victim also become pregnant. The DNA report is still awaiting. Offences levelled against the accused is of serious nature. The accused is residing in the vicinity of the victim's house. Under such circumstances having regard to the gravity of the offence and manner in which it is committed, it will not be desirable to release him on bail. Possibility of repetition of crime and pressurizing the victim cannot be ruled out. Consequently, this application deserves to be rejected. In the result, I pass the following order.

ORDER

01. The application is rejected.
02. Inform the concerned police accordingly.

Place : **Solapur.**
Date : 24/06/2026

(**A. R. Ubale**)
Special Judge (POCSO Act),
Solapur.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file order are same, word to word, as per the original order.

Name of the Stenographer	:	R. P. Sakinal, Steno. Grade-2
Court	:	Special Judge (POCSO Act), Solapur.
Date	:	24.06.2026
Order signed by the	:	
Presiding officer	:	24.06.2026
Order uploaded on	:	24.06.2026