

Present:Sh.GS Kahlon, Advocate for applicant/accused.
Ms.Taranvir Kaur, Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

ORDER:

This order of mine shall dispose of a bail application under Section 483 BNSS filed by the applicant/accused Sandeep Kaur wife of Rajinder Kumar, resident of Village Pallian Khurd, PS, Rahon, in case FIR No.75, dated 27.04.2026, under Sections 62, 308(2) BNS, 2023, PS, Rahon.

2. Briefly, the contents of the present FIR are that it has been registered on the statement of complainant Harminder Singh that he is running an Electronics shop at sabzi Mandi, Rahon. On 27.04.2026, he was present at his shop then at about 09:30 am, he received a call from a girl that they want to visit his shop on account of some urgent work and the complainant told her that he is present at his shop and they can come. The said girl had also previously visited the shop of complainant several times but the complainant did not know her name and recognized her by face. At about 2:00 pm, two girls came to his shop. One girl was wearing a red T-shirt and told her name as Jaspreet Kaur and the girl who accompanied her, who was wearing a salwar suit told her name as Inderjeet Kaur. They told the complainant that they are in need of some money and asked the complainant to give them said money on credit. The complainant refused to give the said amount and went to a room

constructed at the back of his shop to drink water. Inderjeet Kaur who was wearing a salwar suit followed him and bolted the room of the cabin from inside. She told the complainant that he is allowed to do anything with her and also asked him to give her money on credit and the complainant refused for the same. In the meantime, the girl sitting outside namely Jaspreet Kaur telephonically called one other woman, who started making video recording of out side the shop of the complainant and started making noise and started yelling as to how the complainant took her daughter-in-law inside his shop and that she would be reporting the matter to the police and that she would also make video recorded by her viral. The said woman started threatening and intimidating the complainant and further started blackmailing him by demanding money from the complainant. The name of the unknown woman was Rani wife of Gurwinder Singh. Thereafter, Rani telephonically called one Sikh gentleman and one Sandeep Kaur (present applicant/accused) at the spot. They came at the shop of the complainant and started making endeavors to get the matter between the complainant and the above said persons settled. The Sikh gentleman told his name as Soni Singh son of Gurdev Singh and thereafter the said Soni Singh and Sandeep Kaur (present applicant/accused) demanded ₹1 lakh from the complainant in lieu of the settlement between the parties and they further told the complainant that if he does not get the matter settled then he would be defamed and video would be made viral. That the complainant was very badly humiliated by the above said ladies in the market and they even blackmailed him by

demanding money from him. The complainant thereafter called his son Gurwinder Singh telephonically and told him about the ordeal. Then the above said ladies and Sikh gentleman went to the house of present applicant/accused namely Sandeep Kaur in their respective vehicles and his son Gurwinder Singh came at the spot and he talked to Sandeep Kaur (present applicant/accused) and Soni Singh on the phone and the said persons also demanded money from the son of the complainant for arriving at the compromise. The said call records are available with his son and would be presented to the police. That a legal action be against the accused Jaspreet Kaur, Inderjeet Kaur, Rani, Soni Singh and Sandeep Kaur(present applicant/accused). Hence the present FIR was registered against the above said persons under Sections 62, 308(2) BNS.

3. It has been vehemently contended by the learned counsel for the applicant/accused that the applicant/accused is innocent and has committed no offence. That she has been falsely implicated in the present FIR. He has further submitted that the investigation is complete and now nothing has to be recovered from the applicant/accused. The applicant/accused is in custody since 27.04.2026 and she is ready to abide by any condition so imposed. He summed up his arguments by submitting that the applicant/accused undertakes not to abscond or tamper with the evidence. Lastly, a prayer has been made to admit the applicant/accused on regular bail.

4. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing on behalf of State has opposed the present bail application on the ground that there are serious allegations against the applicant/accused. She submitted that the applicant/accused does not deserve the benefit of regular bail and the same be dismissed.

5. I have considered the rival submissions made by the learned counsel for the applicant/accused, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and I have gone through the entire record minutely.

As per record, the applicant/accused is in custody since 27.04.2026. Presentation of challan and conclusion of trial will take a long time and no useful purpose would be served by detaining her in custody for an indefinite period. The allegations against the instant applicant/applicant though are serious in nature but require elaborate evidence to be led to prove her guilt in the present alleged offence. The accused cannot be kept behind bars for an unlimited period as bail is a rule and jail is an exception. Taking into consideration the above said factors and especially, the custody period i.e. 27.04.2026, which the applicant/accused has already spent in jail, I deem it fit to grant the concession of bail to the instant applicant/accused subject to her furnishing bail bonds in the sum of ₹1,00,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate and further subject to the conditions that :

- (i) the applicant/accused will not leave India without permission of the Court;
- (ii) the accused shall remain present on each and every date of hearing unless the application for exempting her appearance is moved by her counsel and that too in exceptional and urgent circumstances;
- (iii) that in the absence of accused, her counsel shall remain present before the Court and
- (iv) that the accused shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence and will not misuse the concession of bail.

File be consigned to record room.

Pronounced.
Dated:12.06.2026.

-Vinay-

Aprajita Joshi,
Additional Sessions Judge,
Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar.
(UID No.PB-0302)

Present:Sh.GS Kahlon, Advocate for applicant/accused.
Ms.Taranvir Kaur, Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Police record produced. Record of learned Trial Court also received and attached.

Arguments heard. Vide my separate detailed order of even date, the present bail application stands disposed of. Police record be returned. Record of learned Trial Court be sent back with the copy of this order for compliance. Bail application file be consigned to record room.

Pronounced.
Dated:12.06.2026.

-Vinay-

Aprajita Joshi,
Additional Sessions Judge,
Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar.
(UID No.PB-0302)