

ULP Case No. 58/2022
(CNR No.MHLC340001712022)

Anand Joshi

-vs-

M.S.R.T.C.

ORDER BELOW EXH.C-15

(Date :- 30.07.2024)

Instant application has been filed by the learned Counsel of respondents for permission to issue witness summons to the witness in the present matter. It is the contention of the respondents that in the present matter they want to examine witness in order to prove their side and in order to prove the misconduct of complainant before the court. The said witness is important witnesses in the present matter. Therefore, prayed to allow the application.

02. The learned Counsel of complainant has given say this application by endorsing say strongly opposed. Lastly, prayed to reject the application.

03. Heard both the parties. Perusal of the record, it appears that the witnesses which the respondents wants to examine before this court is important witnesses. As such, if the present application is allowed, no prejudice will be caused to the complainant because complainant has every opportunity to cross-examine the said

witnesses. Per contra, if the respondent is not allowed to examine the said witnesses, it will cause grave prejudice upon the respondent. Hence, the following order.

ORDER

- 1) Application is allowed.
- 2) Permission is granted to the respondent to issue witness summons to the witness.

CHANDRAPUR.
Date :- 30.07.2024.

Sd/-
(Smt. R. V. Mete)
Judge,
Labour Court, Chandrapur.