

Complaint (ULP) 58/2022

(CNR No.MHLC340001712022)

Anand Joshi -vs- MSRTC, Chandrapur + 2

(ORDER BELOW EXH.C-10)

(Date : 28.06.2023)

Instant application has filed by the learned counsel of respondents for issuing witness summons to the respondents witness no. 1 - Pournima Durge. It is submitted that today the matter is fixed for evidence. The checking officer have taken statement of passenger - Pournima Durge. It is submitted that the complainant had issued a improper ticket to her. Therefore, to prove this fact, it is important to take her statement before the Court. Lastly, prayed to allow the application.

02. The representative of the complainant has given say on this application and strongly opposed the application. Lastly, prayed to reject the application.

03. Heard learned representative of the complainant learned Counsel of respondents.

04. Perusal of the record, it appears that the said witness is important to examine to the respondents. Respondents have filed address of the said witness along with this application. If, the present application is allowed, no prejudice would be caused to the complainant because complainant have every opportunity to cross-examine the said witness. Therefore, in the interest of justice, it is necessary to

give opportunity to the respondents to examine the said witness. Therefore, this application needs to be allowed. Therefore, the respondents are directed to deposit T. A. and D. A. of witness before issuance of the summons to the proposed witness as mentioned in the application. Hence, I pass the following order.

ORDER

- 1] Application – (Exh.C-10) is allowed.
- 2] Issue witness summons to the witness as prayed on P.F. and subject to the payment of witness Bhattas if any as per requirement of law.

CHANDRAPUR.
Date :- 28.06.2023.

Sd/-
(Smt. R. V. Mete)
Judge,
Labour Court, Chandrapur.