

Complaint (ULP).32/2021

(CNR No.MHLC340001232021)

Jitendra Kumbhare

-vs-

Sindewahi Sahakari Shetaki Kharedi Vikari Samiti & Others

(ORDER BELOW EXH.C-12)

(Date :- 20.04.2024)

Instant application has filed by the respondents for grant of permission to file documents on record as per list attached. It is submitted that today the matter is fixed before this court for hearing. But today the respondents wants to file the said documents on record as per list which are very important and necessary for the proper disposal of the matter. No prejudice would be caused to the complainant, if permission is granted to respondents to file documents on record. Lastly, prayed to allow the application.

02. The learned Counsel for the complainant strongly opposed the application by endorsing his say on the application. And he prayed for rejection of the application.

03. Heard the learned Counsel for the complainant and respondents.

04. On perusal of the record, it appears that the proposed documents are necessary documents in order to solve the real controversy between the parties. As such, if the present application is allowed, no

prejudice will be caused to the complainant. Per contra, if the applicant is not allowed to produce the proposed document on record, it will cause grave prejudice upon the respondents. Hence, the following order.

ORDER

- 1) Application is allowed.
- 2) Permission is granted to the respondents for the production of documents.

Sd/-

(Smt. R. V. Mete)

Judge

Labour Court, Chandrapur

CHANDRAPUR.

Date :-20.04.2024.