

Complaint (ULP).32/2021

(CNR No.MHLC340001232021)

Jitendra Kumbhare -vs- Sindewahi Kharedi Vikri Sah.
Sanstha.

(ORDER BELOW EXH.C-9)

(Date :- 13.10.2022)

Instant application has filed by the respondents for consequential amendment in written statement. It is submitted that complainant has made many amendments in the complaint and they are allowed. Hence it has become essential for the respondent to make consequential amendments in written statement. They are as below.

02. The application of complainant U-12 was so complicated and hand-written and was very difficult to understand. It was not explained properly. Even words were not easily legible. It is therefore, that the proposed amendment in clause 4 of U-12 came to be allowed though it should not have been allowed. Respondent is applying for Review/Reconsideration of the same. Lastly, prayed to allow the application.

03. The learned counsel of complainant has given say on this application and opposed the application. Lastly, prayed to reject the application.

04. Perused the application and say. Heard both the parties. The application shows that the respondents are intending to add paragraphs at the end of paragraph 5 as

mentioned in the application. It appears from the record that the said amendment goes to the root of the matter and it is necessary for deciding the controversy between the parties. If, the amendment is carried out, no prejudice will be caused to the complainant. Hence, I pass the following order.

ORDER

- 1) Application – (Exh.C-9) is allowed.
- 2) Respondent is hereby permitted to carry out consequential amendment in written statement as mentioned in the application.

Sd/-

(Smt. R.V. Mete)

Judge,

Labour Court, Chandrapur.

Chandrapur.

Date :- 13.10.2022.