

IDA.05/2021
(MHLC340000572021)

Pradip Yenarkar -vs- CDCC Bank, Chandrapur.

ORDER BELOW EXH.U-8
(Date :- 16.06.2022)

Instant application is filed by applicant for permission to file document on record. It is submitted that the instant matter is fixed for evidence of applicant. The applicant, during the pendency of this application, has filed application to non-applicant demanding admitted claim by the non-applicant. This application is material to decide the claim of the applicant. Hence, prayed to allow the application.

02. The learned Counsel of applicant has given say on rear side of the application and strongly opposed the application and submitted that despite of already sufficient opportunity was granted to the non-applicant to file document on record, but applicant did not file document on record. This case is pending for evidence from 15.03.2022. Applicant did not file evidence on affidavit till date. Lastly, prayed to reject the application with cost.

03. Heard the learned Counsel of applicant and learned Counsel of non-applicant.

04. On perusal of the record, it appears that the proposed document is necessary document in order to solve the real controversy between the parties. As such, if the

present application is allowed, no prejudice will be caused to the non-applicant. Per contra, if the applicant is not allowed to produce the proposed document on record, it will cause grave prejudice upon the applicant. Hence, the following order.

ORDER

- 1) Application is allowed.

- 2) Permission is granted to the applicant for the production of document.

Sd/-

(Smt. R. V. Mete)

Judge

Labour Court, Chandrapur

C H A N D R A P U R.

Date :- 16.06.2022.