

IDA.05/2021

Pradip Yenarkar -vs- C.D.C.C. Bank Ltd.

ORDER BELOW EXH.U-7

(Date :- 06.01.2022)

Instant application has been filed by the learned Counsel of applicant for direction to pay 90 days salary reserving right to contest the application to the non-applicant.

02. It is submitted that the applicant has filed an application for recovery of Rs.6,62,128/- from the non-applicant. The non-applicant filed reply to the said application with specific pleading that the non-applicant was ready to salary of 90 days only, but the applicant refused to receive the said amount. It is submitted that as per pleading, the applicant is ready to receive 90 days salary reserving to contest the remaining claim amount. Hence, prayed to allow the application.

03. The non-applicant has given say by endorsing strongly opposed the application on rear side of application. It is submitted application does not have any legal standing. It is further submitted the applicant has already rejected the offer of the non-applicant bank . The subject amount of salary is under dispute and therefore could not be granted to the applicant. Therefore, prayed to reject the application.

04. Perused the application and say. Heard learned Counsels for the applicant and non-applicants. Though, the non-applicant admitted 90 days salary in their written statement, it does not amount to admitted the claim of the

applicant. The claim of the applicant must be admitted fully. Therefore, at this stage, no such a direction can be issued to the non-applicant. Therefore, this application is rejected.

Sd/-

(Smt. R.V. Mete)

Judge,

Labour Court, Chandrapur.

CHANDRAPUR.

Date :- 06.01.2022.

LABOUR COURT