

Name : Dr. Vishweshwar Krushnaswami Kurtkoti.

Aged about 59 Years,

Occ.- Medical practitioner.

R/o. Amravati.

Examination-in- Chief by Adv. Shri S.D.Babrekar for applicant :-

I am serving as Medical Officer at General Hospital, Amravati. I am M. S. (Orthopedic). Applicant had visited the hospital for disability certificate. He had spine injury which has been operated at some other hospital. I examined him. He had weakness in both lower limbs. It is known as Paraparesis. He had 44% permanent disability. It is not curable in future. Accordingly our committee had issued disability certificate. The certificate now shown to me is the same. It bears signature of myself and other doctors i.e. Addl.Civil Surgeon Dr. Ashok Wankar and Civil Surgeon Dr. A. A. Raut. Its contents are correct. It is marked as **Exh.U-38**. Now discharge card Exh.U-20 is shown to me. Injury is mentioned in it is same, which is mentioned in certificate Exh.U-38.

Cross examination by Adv. D.M.Shrimali for non-applicant No.1-

A: 1 to 3 :

It is true that as per the Government notification medical board is constituted. I have not brought any notification along with me to show that at Amravati medical board was constituted. It is true that I was attached to General Hospital, Amravati. It is true that it is necessary for the patient to get treatment card for the treatment at General hospital. It is true that its entries is taken in register of the hospital. Today, I have not brought copy of such card or entry of

register. It is true that I had not operated or treated the applicant. It is true that in certificate Exh.U-38 reference of any document or discharge card etc is not given. It is true that guidelines and table mentioned in certificate Exh.U-38 is not enclosed. It is not true that condition of applicant can be improved. In certificate Exh.U-38 it is mentioned that condition of patient is likely to improve. The witness volunteers that the injury is adoptive and, therefore, it is mentioned. I had not re-accessed the patient thereafter. As a proof of identity and residence the applicant had submitted Aadhar card. It is true that disability certificate Exh.U-38 was not issued for medico legal cases. It is true that in certificate Exh. U-38 parameters applied for assessment of disability are not mentioned.

Cross examination by non-applicant No.2 absent.

Hence, no cross.

Cross examination by Adv. Chutake for non-applicant No.3 :

Above cross examination is adopted.

Re-examination : Nil.

R. O. A. C.

Dated : 26-10-2021
Amravati.

sd/-

(M. M. Shaikh)
Judge,
Labour Court, Amravati.