

**BEFORE, COMMISSIONER UNDER EMPLOYEES
COMPENSATION ACT, LABOUR COURT, AMRAVATI.**

WC (NF)No.01/2016

CNR No.MHLC270000182016

Mohd. Rajik . -Vs- Naman Cotton and Ors.

Order below Ex.U-23

(Date : 10.2.2020)

1. By this application the applicant has prayed to mark exhibit to documents.

2.Nonapplicants have opposed the application on the ground that there is delay caused in filing the report and the provisions are wrongly interpreted by the applicant.

3] Heard the learned advocates for the parties. The applicant has produced carbon copy of report vide list Ex.C18. Learned advocate for the applicant has relied on the decision in the case of **Mohindersingh -Vs- Jasvant Kaur (2019 SAR (Civil) 1151)** wherein it is held that carbon copy can be termed as original document under Sec.62 of Evidence Act. On the basis of said decision the documents cannot be said to have been proved and hence cannot be marked exhibit. At the most it can be said that it is original document. The applicant is not author of it. The document is not proved in accordance with law. Hence it cannot be exhibited. Hence the following order.

Order

The application is rejected.

(M.M.Shaikh)
Commissioner under
E.C. Act and Judge,
Labour Court, Amravati.

Date : 10.2.2020.