

LABOUR COURT, AMRAVATI.

Complaint (ULP) No. 24 of 2009

ORDER BELOW EXH.U-76

(Passed on 20-01-2023)

This is an application filed by the complainant for amendment. The non applicant has filed say. Heard both sides.

2] According to complainant, present complaint is filed for challenging the wrongful termination. Inadvertently the pleading in respect of respondent being an industry has remained to be stated in the complaint. Hence, amendment to that effect is necessary. Hence, application be allowed.

3] The respondent has filed say. According to it, the amendment sought change the nature of pleading. Complainant has not assigned proper cause for belated application. Matter is part heard. Hence, application be rejected.

4] The complaint is pending for evidence of complainant. Yet evidence of complainant has not started. Considering the reasons stated in my view amendment is necessary for just decision of case on merit. No prejudice would be caused to respondent, it would have right to cross examine the complainant. Hence I proceed to pass the following order.

ORDER

1] Application is allowed subject to costs of Rs.500/-.

2] The applicant shall carry out the amendment till next date without fail.

Sd/-

Date : 20-01-2023
Amravati.

(Sachin S. Patil)
Judge,
Labour Court, Amravati.

.../-