

BEFORE THE HON'BLE LABOUR COURT FIRST AT
AURANGABAD

COM/ULP/84/2017

Vinod Ingale

V/S

M/S Varroc Polymers Pvt. Ltd.

APPLICATION FOR SET-ASIDE NO ARGUMENT ORDER
PASEED ON 04/12/2025

HON'BLE SIR,

That the respondent most respectfully submits as under,

0
s to saary
D. Jeno. 1.
11/12/25

01] That in the above matter, no argument order was passed against the respondent on 04/12/2025; as such, the present application for setting aside the no argument order dated 04/12/2025. The respondent submits that on the said date, the respondent have filed the Revision Petition No. 140/2025 before the Hon'ble Industrial court at Aurangabad pending for issue notice to the complainant which was listed on 20/12/2025. Therefore respondent filed an application requesting this Hon'ble Court to adjourn the matter after 20/12/2025, but the Hon'ble Court rejected the said application.

02] THAT, respondent is desirous of exercising the right to argument, which is essential for the just adjudication of the matter. Hence, the present application may kindly be allowed in the interest of justice."

02] Therefore it is humble request to this Hon'ble court that it is not intentionally and deliberately to prolong the matter but due to above

mentioned reasons as such no argument order which passed against respondent on 04/12/2025; those may kindly be set-aside and permission may kindly be granted to preliminary issues argument and no losses would be caused to the applicant if application allowed but if application not allowed heavy losses would be caused to respondent and those could not be compensated in terms of money therefore this application of respondent may kindly be allowed.

HENCE IT IS PRAYED

A] That by allowing this application of respondent, as "NO ARGUMENT ORDER" which passed against respondent on 04/12/2025; those may kindly be set-aside and permission may kindly be granted to preliminary issues argument of respondent and oblige.

RESPONDENT

M/S Varroc Polymers Pvt. Ltd.

DATE: - 11/12/2025

PLACE: - AURANGABAD

FILED BY,

Rohit

(SACHIN V. DANKH)

Advocate for respondent

Adv. R.8. Jadhav

Say of the Complainant

1) The Application may kindly be rejected with heavy costs.

2) The Respondent has been filing such application only to delay the hearing in this matter.

3) The Respondent has not taken any positive steps in this matter for a long time now.

4) Even today, the Resp. has not shown its readiness to argue the matter which shows the intent of the Resp.

Therefore, No such application may be entertained Hence, this say