

-: 6 :-

Application WC No. 20/19

Examination-in-chief by Advocate Shri. Avinash Katkar, for applicant.

The contents of my evidence affidavit are true and correct. It bears my signature.

Cross-examination of witness by Advocate Shri. Dabharde, for Opponent.

I am educated up to the 12th standard in Commerce. I have not taken any technical education. Before joining the opponent, I worked with another company. I joined opponent on 05/01/2019. It is true that I joined opponent before 15 to 20 days of the incident. Now I am working at Balaji Plastic Firm. I am getting Rs. 14000/- p.m. as a salary. Including five workers were working with the opponent company. The opponent has not given an appointment letter to me. Witness voluntarily stated that the opponent appointed me orally.

It is true that I have not been appointed as a Press Machine expert. It is not true to say that due to my negligence, the said incident occurred. I was admitted to Ganesh Accident Hospital. Mr. Targe admitted me to Hospital. It is not true to say that Mr. Arun Targe paid the entire medical expenses. I was admitted to the Hospital for 4 to 5 days. It is not true to say that Mr. Arun Targe paid Rs. 25,0000/-, at the time of discharge from the Hospital. I lodged a complaint before the police authority after two to three months from the date of the incident. I have not received a copy of

: - 7 :-

the said complaint. I do not know whether the police authority investigated into that complaint or not. It is not true to say that S.K. Engineering and Shardha Engineering are two separate different companies. It is true to say that Mr. Arun Targe is the owner of Shardha Engineering. It is not true to say that Mr. Arun Targe is not the owner of the S.K. Engineering. It is not true to say that the opponent is not liable to pay any compensation. It is not true to say that the contents of my affidavit are false

Re-examination: Nil.

Cross completed.

ROAC

(Smt. M.Y.Amrutkar)
Judge,
Labour Court-1, Aurangabad

Dtd.16/03/2022