

Ref IDA No. 70/2014

**ORDER BELOW EXH. C-107****(Dtd. 08.09.2025)**

1) The first party is claiming adjournment they want to challenge order below **Exh. C-101** passed on 02/07/2025. The second party has objected the application and claimed that earlier cost of Rs. 1,000/- is imposed. The first party had not complied the order.

2) I have heard learned Advocate for both parties. Perused record, order passed below **Exh. C-101** on 02/07/2025. Thereafter, vide order below **Exh. C-104**, the first party had claimed to challenge the order. Thereafter, vide order below **Exh. C-105** last chance was granted subject to bringing appropriate order from the Hon'ble High Court on 07/08/2025. On 19/08/2025 vide application below **Exh. C-106** cost of Rs. 1,000/- was imposed and last chance was granted. The cost of Rs. 1,000/- is not deposited.

3) The first party again claiming to challenge the order. Despite order of bringing appropriate order vide order below **Exh. C-105** no specific order from the Hon'ble High Court. The claim challenging the order is as per series of applications. However, no progress on record. The directions of the Court for imposing cost are not completed. Hence, the application for claiming to challenge the order adjournment is not just. Matter is of 2014, therefore, seeking adjournment at the instance of first party for challenging order is not tenable and hence, rejected. The first party did not lead evidence despite availing sufficient opportunity and considering the pendency

of case postponement of case for leading evidence is not just. Hence, evidence of first party is closed for the progress of case and case is posted for evidence of second party.

Place: Aurangabad  
Date :08/09/2025

**(D. S. Khedekar)**  
I/c Presiding Officer & Judge,  
Labour Court-2, Aurangabad.