

ORDER BELOW EXH. C-5
(Dtd. 11/09/2025)

- 1) This application is filed by respondent No. 1 for deleting from the application as respondent No. 1. The applicant failed to reply. Therefore, application was kept for argument without reply. I have heard learned Advocate for applicant. The respondent failed to advance argument.
- 2) According to the respondent No. 1 he has been added as a Chairman of Sant Eknath Sahakari Sakhar Karkhana Ltd in the application and Government has appointed Administrative Officer by discontinuing the Managing Committee of Karkhana. The Chairman has no authority and power to conduct cases before Court as charge of Karkhana is already taken by the Administrative Officer and Managing Committee is not in exist.
- 3) In view of contents of application filed by applicant it appears that the applicant has arrayed the respondent No. 1 as Chairman of Sant Eknath Sahakari Sakhar Karkhana Ltd. The applicant has claimed gratuity amount against the respondent. It is not claimed by respondent No. 1 that the applicant was not employed by respondent No. 1. Merely because the Administrative Officer is appointed the liability of gratuity claimed by applicant can not extinguish and the claim of respondent No. 1 for deleting his name is not just unless the issue of gratuity is adjudicated by following due

procedure. Hence, application deserves to be rejected. Consequently, I proceed to pass following order;

ORDER

- 1) Application stands rejected.
- 2) Parties to take note thereof.

(Typed and declared in open Court)

Place: Aurangabad
Date : 11/09/2025

(D. S. Khedekar)
Controlling Authority under PGA & Judge,
Labour Court-1, Aurangabad.