

EXH.O-1

**BEFORE AMRUTA A. PATIL, JUDGE,
FIRST LABOUR COURT, THANE.**

CONDONATION OF DELAY (ULP) NO. 4/2025

CNR No.MHLC040000042025

Shri. Manoj Shivaji Katke .. Applicant

V/s.

Dombivali Nagari Sahakari Bank Ltd. & Anr. .. Respondents

**In the matter of application for passing
no written statement/reply order.**

**Appearances : Ld. Advocate Smt. S. P. Kayande for applicant.
Ld. Advocate Shri. A.M. Joglekar for respondents.**

ORDER BELOW EXH.U-9

(Passed on : 12/11/2025)

1. The present application is filed for passing no written statement/reply order against the respondents. It is contended that, respondent has not filed their reply till today. The respondent appeared in the matter in the month of August, but till date they have not filed reply and period of limitation is more than 30 days. Therefore, prayed that no reply order against the respondents may be passed.

2. The say is filed on behalf of the respondents on the rear side of the application. It is submitted that, the complainant had preferred a complaint being C(ULP)No.44/2010 which was dismissed vide order dtd.10/11/2021. The papers pertaining to the said complaint are with the bank and as such the undersigned has called for

: 2 :

the documents to file their reply/say. Hence, prayed not to pass the order today.

3. Heard Ld. Advocates for both the parties. The present application is filed for restoration of the order dtd.10/11/2021. There is delay in filing the said application. The application for condonation of delay is filed by the applicant. The respondent appeared through Advocate. Today also the Ld. Advocate for the respondent is present before the Court and has submitted that, the complainant had preferred C(ULP)No.44/2010 which was dismissed vide order dtd.10/11/2021 and the papers pertaining to the said complaint are with the bank and they have called for the documents to file their reply/say.

4. I found substance in the submissions of the respondent. In the interest of justice it is necessary for the respondents to file their written statement on record. It will help to decide the matter on merits. It will not cause any prejudice to the applicant. In view of the arguments advanced by both parties, the respondents are directed to pay cost of Rs.500/- to the applicant. The respondents are directed to file their written statement on next date. The application is rejected.

Place : Thane
Date : 12/11/2025
Ast/-

(Amruta. A. Patil)
Judge,
First Labour Court, Thane.