

**Cross-examination of PW-1 on oath on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3  
by Advocate Shri. L. N.Shinde A.G.P.-**

32. I was having 36 acres of land in survey No.20/1/2 situated at village Banegaon, Tq. Ausa. The Government has acquired my land and land in the name of my wife. The Government acquired 23 and ½ acres of land of myself and my wife. My wife has not given me any authority letter to depose on her behalf in the Court. Said land was acquired after the earthquake in the year 1993. It is true to say that, at that time I was Sarpanch of the village Banegaon. It is true to say that, prior to earthquake village Banegaon was situated on the common grazing land. The acquired land is situated near the village Banegaon. It is not true to say that, comparatively less loss was caused in our village in the earthquake as compare to other villages.

33. It is true to say that, only my land was acquired by the Government in the village Banegaon. It is not true to say that, I had given consent for the Government to acquisition of land for rehabilitation of village. It is not true to say that, the rehabilitation was done on the grazing land. It is not true to say that, I am not produced any documentary evidence on record to show that the land acquired by the Government was agricultural land. Now 7/12 extract (Exh.26) shown to me. It is not true to say that, the 7/12 extract (Exh.26) does not bear any entry of crops. It is not true to say that, the entry of crops taken in land acquired by the Government is not mentioned in 7/12 extract (Exh.26).

34. It is not true to say that, the award was prepared by the land acquisition officer according to my consent and the situation at the spot of land acquired. It is not true to say that, according to the ready reckoner and the valuation of neighboring lands valuation of acquired land was fixed to the tune of Rs. 29,000/- per hector. It is true to say that, I have obtained compensation amount of Rs.3,97,905/- on 28/03/1994 for the acquired land from the Government under protest. I do not know whether reference is required to be filed in the Court within 42 days after obtaining compensation amount under protest. I do not know whether this reference is not filed within 42 days after obtaining compensation amount by me under protest. It is not true to say that, I am satisfied with the amount of compensation of Rs.3,97,905/- therefore I have not filed this reference within 42 days.

35. Presently the population of village Banegaon is approximately 3500. At the time of earthquake the population of village Banegaon was approximately 1800. It is true to say that, the village Banegaon is not situated next to the highway. It is not true to say that, there was no school or the provision of electricity in the village Banegaon, when the land was acquired.

36. It is not true to say that, the Government acquired the land as per my consent and awarded the compensation amount accordingly by referring to ready reckoner and valuation of neighboring lands. It is true to say that, main market for the villagers at Banegaon is situated at AUSA and Latur. Latur city is situated 35 kilometers away from the village Banegaon. It is true to say that, I have not annexed any sale deed of

neighboring land situated in village Banegaon next to the acquired land. It is true to say that, I am not annexed any sale deed of plot in village Banegaon to show the valuation of acquired land. It is not true to say that, I have filed this reference only to extract enhance compensation amount from the Government. It is not true to say that, I have filed my false affidavit of examination-in-chief. It is not true to say that, I am deposing false.

Cross examination completed.

No re-examination.

R.O. AND A.C.

(P. I. Mokashi )

Civil Judge Senior Division, AUSA.

Date : 05-02-2026.