

ORDER BELOW EXH.21 IN R.C.S. NO.32/2024
GANPAT GOROBA GORE AND ANOTHER VS
STATE AND OTHERS.
CNR NO.MHLA170001452024.

Defendant No.5 has filed application to vacate the “*status-quo*” order passed below Exh.8, on the grounds that the the dispute between plaintiffs and defendants is regarding old road. Defendant No.5 does not have any other road accept the disputed road to approach his agricultural land. Defendant No.2 has granted the road to defendant No.5 from the common bandh of Gat No.15/2 and Gat No.14 by calling plaintiffs and defendant No.6 and by going to the spot in presence of villagers and panchas. M If defendant No.5 is not permitted to use the disputed road from the common bandh, he fill suffer huge loss. Hence, he prayed to allow his application.

2. Plaintiffs failed to file their say though granted sufficient opportunity. Hence, “No-say” order is passed against plaintiffs.

3. Heard learned counsel for defendant No.5. Perused the record. The present application is filed on 13/01/2026. “*Status-quo*” order is passed on 10/05/2024 till further order. Plaintiffs failed to file their say to this application, This shows that, they are not interested to proceed with this suit as the “*status-quo*” is granted. Hence, considering the fact that defendant No.5 has only the disputed road to approach his agricultural land, it is likely that, he will suffer irreparable loss. Hence, the application is liable to be allowed. Therefore, I proceed to pass further order below Exh.8

Date :- 16/06/2026.

(P. I. Mokashi)
Civil Judge Senior Division, Ausa.