

ORDER BELOW EXH.10 IN SPL. C. S. NO.10/2025
NEELABAI SANTRAM INGALE AND ANOTHER VS. PRABHU
SUBHANA SAVALKAR AND OTHERS.
(Order passed on the Day of 11th June, 2025)
CNR NO.MHLA170001002025.

Heard learned counsel for plaintiffs. He argued that, plaintiffs have filed suit for partition, separate possession, share in the compensation amount and declaration. Plaintiffs have also filed the application for temporary injunction against defendants. It is alleged by plaintiffs that defendant No.12 is the illegal purchaser of the suit land. Defendants have made compromise in the suit bearing RCS. No.496/2023 which is not binding on plaintiffs. The suit land is transferred in the name of defendant No.12 on the basis of false documents.

2. Perused the record and documents filed by plaintiffs. There is no *prima-facie* documentary evidence to show that the sale deed in the name of defendant No.12 is illegal. It is necessary to hear defendants. It is necessary to give opportunity to defendants to put up their defence. No irreparable loss would be caused to plaintiffs if the application is rejected. Therefore, ad-interim ex-parte injunction cannot be granted in favour of plaintiffs. Thus, the application is liable to be rejected. Hence, I pass following order :-

ORDER

The application is rejected.

Date :- 11/06/2025.

(P. I. Mokashi)
Civil Judge Senior Division,
Ausa.