


MHLA160000772009 	<u>R.C.S.No.59/2009</u> <u>Shakuntalabai Vs. Venkat & Ors.</u>
---	---

ORDER BELOW EXH.129

This is an application for exhibiting public document.

2. Heard learned advocate Shri. V.N. Sankaye for plaintiff and learned advocate Shri. D.T. Patil for defendant No.1 to 3 at length. I have carefully gone through the record. This is suit for partition and separate possession. The relationship between parties is in question. The plaintiff want to exhibit certified copy of public record issued by Assistant Revenue Officer, Land Record Office. The Section 90 of Indian Evidence Act and corresponding Section 92 of the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhinyam provided presumption as to documents 30 years old. That presumption is not in respect of certified copies. Therefore, it is not applicable in this case.

3. However, in view of Section 75 of the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhinyam provided certified copies of public documents. The Land Record Office is public office. Therefore, alleged document is a certified copy of public document. In view of Section 76 of the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhinyam, productions of certified copy is proof of contents of documents. Similarly, Section 78 of the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhinyam provided presumption as to genuineness of certified copies. That presumption is established in this case. Accordingly, the extract register i.e. certified copy of public record is

admissible in eye of law. Therefore, this is fit case to exhibit that document. In result, I pass following order;-

ORDER

- 1) The application is allowed and exhibit certified copy of revenue record filed below list Exh.124.
- 2) Order dictated on computer and pronounced in open Court.

Date – 23/02/2026.
Deoni.

(S.A. Surjuse)
Civil Judge (Jr.Dn.), Deoni.