

CNR No. DLSE01-007351-2026
CA No. 256/2026
ITE India Pvt. Ltd. Vs. M/s Barka Foods & Ors.

07.08.2026

Present : Mr. Sarthak Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the appellant
(through VC).

Ms. Meghna Kar, Ld. Counsel for respondents no. 2
and 3 (through VC).

None for respondent no. 1.

Trial court record has been received.

Ordinary notice issued to respondents no. 1 to 3
received back unserved with the report that a dealing clerk
Subhash was available on the given address who, after taking to
one Aman Bhandari, refused to take delivery of the notice. Such
refusal amounts to service.

Vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of respondents
no. 2 and 3.

It is pertinent to mention that as per memo of
parties, respondent no. 1 is a partnership firm which is always
represented by its partners. After appearance of some partners i.e.
respondents no. 2 and 3 it can be said that respondent no. 1 has
also been served. Despite service, no one is appearing for
respondent no. 1.

Notice of respondents no. 4 and 5 received back
with the report that a person available there told the serving
official that no bazar by the name of S-9 to S-12, East Bazaar and

....Contd./-

no person by the name of respondent nos. 4 and 5 were available in the Garden of Five Senses.

Respondents no. 1 to 3 may file reply by the next date.

Issue fresh notice to respondents no. 4 and 5 through all permissible mode, on filing fresh address, on filing PF and Speed Post within three days returnable on **05.09.2026**.

(UMED SINGH)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
South East District, Saket Courts,
New Delhi. 07.08.2026 (ar)