

ORDER BELOW EXH. 119.

1. This application is filed by plaintiff for the amendment of plaint.

2. The plaintiff has contended that, in para no.4 and line no.7 it was wrongly typed as "defendant no.8" instead of "defendant no.9". The plaintiff has further submitted that the aforesaid amendment is necessary for full and complete presentation of the case and if the proposed amendment is allowed the nature of the suit will not be changed. Thus, plaintiffs have prayed to allow the application.

3. The learned counsel for the defendants has submitted that the plaintiff is trying to collect the evidence, further if the application is allowed then the nature of suit would be changed. Thus he submitted to reject the application.

4. Perused the record. Heard both sides. In my view the proposed mistake appears to be a typing error, moreover for the purpose of determining the real questions in controversy between the parties, if the proposed amendment is allowed the nature of suit will not be changed or no prejudice would be caused to the defendants. Hence, I proceed to pass the following order.

: Order :

1. The application is allowed.
2. The plaintiff is directed to carry out proposed amendment in plaint within 14 days.

Place : Chakur.
Date : 26.11.2018.

[**Gajanan B. Jankar**]
Jt. Civil Judge, J.D., Chakur.

C E R T I F I C A T E

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. File Order are same, word to word, as per the original Order.

Name of the Stenographer	:-	Pathan M.B.
Name of the Court	:-	Jt.Civil Judge, J.D., Chakur.
Date of Order	:-	26/11/2018
Order signed by the Presiding Officer on	:-	26/11/2018
Order uploaded on	:-	26/11/2018