

ORDER BELOW EXH. 28.

This is application is filed by the plaintiff for the amendment of plaint.

2. The plaintiff has contended that, the mode of acquisition of suit property in the name of plaintiff is not described in detail in the plaint. Therefore, in para no.6 of the plaint he want to add that "the plaintiff started practice since 20 years in addition to the family profession of agricultural, the father of the plaintiff got the suit lands freed from encumbrances and therefore they were purchased in the name of plaintiff and for his benefit. The plaintiff has further submitted that the aforesaid amendment is necessary for full and complete presentation of the case, it will not affect the nature of the suit. Thus, is prayed to allow the application.

3. The learned counsel for the defendant has submitted that the application is not tenable, the pleading of the plaintiff is contradictory one. The amendment if allowed the nature of the suit will change. Thus, he prayed to reject the application.

4. Perused the record. Heard both side. It appears from the pleading of the plaintiff the suit property purchased in the name of plaintiff under guardian of his grand father. It further appears that the plaintiff want to give details about purchasing the suit land, therefore in my view if the proposed amendment is allowed the nature of suit will not be changed or no prejudice would cause to the defendant. Hence, I proceed to pass the following order.

: Order :

1. The application is allowed.
2. The plaintiff is directed to carry out proposed amendment in

plaint within 14 days.

Place : Chakur.
Date : 15.12.2017.

[**Gajanan B. Jankar**]
Jt. Civil Judge, J.D., Chakur.

C E R T I F I C A T E

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. File Order are same, word to word, as per the original Order.

Name of the Stenographer	:-	Pathan M.B.
Name of the Court	:-	Civil Judge, J.D., Chakur.
Date of Order	:-	15/12/2017
Order signed by the Presiding Officer on	:-	15/12/2017
Order uploaded on	:-	15/12/2017