

MHLA140001982025



Order below Exh No. 16
Anil Vs. Nitinkumar

Perused the application and say. Heard the Advocates representing the parties. Despite of appearance, defendant failed to file W.S. within stipulated period. Hence, an order of 'No Written Statement' was passed. On perusal of the application, the ground for not filing the written statement in time appears not to be convincing. Considering the nature of the suit, it is expedient that an opportunity shall be given to defendants to contest the suit on merit. However, for causing delay the defendants can be saddled with cost. Hence, the application is allowed subject to the cost of **Rs. 100/-** to be paid to the plaintiffs on or before the next date, whereon the written statement can be accepted. Failure to comply with the order would make the order of 'No Written Statement' stand.

Date: 13.03.2026
Ausa

(Shubhangi H. Nalawade)
3rd Jt. C.J.J.D, Ausa.