

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 05 IN R.C.S. NO. 145/2020.**

**Pratik VS. Antram AND ANR.**

1. The present suit is filed by the plaintiff for permanent injunction. Wherein he has filed an application for temporary injunction under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

2. It is the case of the plaintiff that, he is owner and possessor of suit plot. The name of plaintiff is mutated to the suit plot and G.P. has issued G.P. house no. 2923 to the said plot. The defendant have no concern to the suit plot but they are interfering the possession of plaintiff over the suit plot. On 20-10-2020 the defendants entered on the suit plot illegally and interfered the possession of plaintiff, they also threatened to dispossess the plaintiff. The plaintiff has lodged complaint against the defendant but police has not taken any action. Hence plaintiff has filed the present suit and the present application.

3. After perusal of the application it is seen that, the plaintiff has not mentioned the source of his ownership nor his relationship with the defendants. Therefore, I am of the opinion that, before passing any order as to injunction it is necessary to give an opportunity to the defendants .I proceed to pass following order :-

**ORDER**

1. Issue show cause notice to the defendants why ex-parte injunction should not be granted against them r/o. 30-11-2020.
2. S.B. and E.P. is allowed, if necessary.

(Dictated and pronounced in open Court.)

SD/-

( O.M. Mali. )

Civil Judge, J.D., Renapur

Date :- 27/10/2020.