

MHLA070003032026



ORDER BELOW EXH.1

<u>Criminal Bail Application No.108/2026.</u>		
	Vidwan Pandu Mankare Age 38 yrs, Occu : Service R/o.Banshelki, Tq. Udgir District Latur	Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra. Police Station Udgir Rural.	Opponent
--	-----------------

REGULAR BAIL APPLICATION UNDER S.483 OF B.N.S.S.

<u>Appearances</u>	
For applicant	Advocate Shri. P.M.Kone
For State	APP Shri.S.M.Girwalkar

A)	Case details :	
1.	FIR number and date	343/2026 Dt.01.06.2026
2.	Police Station, District, State	Police Station Udgir Rural, District Latur, State Maharashtra
3.	Sections invoked	Section 64(1), 64(2), 64(2) (m), 351(2), 351(3) r/w 3(5) of B.N.S and U/Sec.67A of The Information Technology Act, 2000.
4.	Maximum punishment prescribed	Life imprisonment.
B)	Custody and procedural compliance :	
1.	Date of Arrest	01.06.2026
2.	Total period of custody undergone	29 days.
C)	Status of trial :	
1.	State of proceeding	Investigation.

2.	Total number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet.	N.A.
3.	Number of prosecution witnesses examined	N.A.
D)	Criminal antecedents :	
1.	FIR and Police Station	N.A.
2.	Sections	N.A.
3.	Status	N.A.
E)	Previous bail applications :	
1.	Court	N.A.
2.	Case number	N.A.
3.	Outcome of case	N.A.
F)	Coercive processes :	
1.	Whether any non-bailable warrant was issued	N.A.
2.	Whether declared a proclaimed offender	N.A.

ORDER BELOW EXH.1

(Passed on this 30.06.2026)

This bail application is filed by the applicant/accused, namely Vidwan Pandu Mankare, under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, in connection with Crime No.343/2026, registered at Police Station Udgir Rural, District Latur, under Sections 64(1), 64(2), 64(2)(m), 351(2), 351(3) r/w 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 67A of the Information Technology Act, 2000.

Brief facts of the prosecution case are as under :

2) The informant Sukeshani Vijaykumar Mankare has lodged the report with P.S.Udgir (Rural) alleging that the accused always used to call her on telephone. He used to say I like you, let talks together. Similarly he used to give threat to kill her husband and thereby committed forcible sexual intercourse with the informant. In the month of September, 2025 the accused bought cold drink Fanta which was drink by the informant. After drinking it the informant become unconscious and the accused has forcibly committed sexual intercourse and videographed it in his

mobile. Thereafter in January, 2026 the accused threatened to the informant to viral video in his mobile and committed forcible sexual intercourse with the informant. One Shilpa Sangave from village Banshelaki was also threatening the informant to make viral videos. On 25.05.2026 when informant came to her in-laws house the accused came and threatened to kill the husband of the informant. He also threatened to make video viral. Thus, the informant succumbed to the pressure of the accused. Hence, on 28.05.2026 the informant consumed poison due to harassment of the accused and one Shilpa Sangave. Therefore, PSO of P.S.Udgir Rural recorded statement of the informant. On the basis of said statement FIR No.343/2026 was registered against the accused at Udgir Rural police station. The accused was arrested in connection with the crime. Police has seized the mobile from the possession of the accused. The accused is remanded to MCR by learned Magistrate on 05.06.2026. Thus, investigation of the offence is in progress.

3) It is stated by the accused that no such incident took place as alleged by the informant. The accused has been falsely implicated in the present case. The recitals of the FIR shows that there is consensual relationship between the informant and the accused. As per report the incident took place in the year 2024 and report is lodged in the year 2026. No explanation is given for such a huge delay. It is further stated that the husband of the informant in his statement made it clear that he came to know on 17.05.2026 that his wife is with the accused. Therefore, the informant consumed poison herself. Nothing is remained to be seized from the possession of the accused. The accused is ready to abide any of the conditions as may be imposed. The antecedents of the accused are clear. The accused is serving in the military. Therefore, question of fleeing away from justice does not arise. Hence, on these grounds accused claimed for bail.

4) The I.O. and APP resisted the application stating that the alleged offence is of serious nature. Both informant and accused reside adjacent to each other. Therefore, if accused is released on bail then possibility of tampering prosecution evidence can not be ruled out. The informant sustained tremendous mental torture. The other co-accused is yet to be arrested in the present case. Hence, I.O. & APP prayed for rejection of the bail application.

5) Perused application and say. Heard arguments of both sides.

6) On perusal of the FIR it is clear that the accused when came to village used to tell the informant that I like you, let talks together, I am your maternal uncle. The informant categorically resisted for the same. But the accused given threat that he will kill the husband of the informant and demolish the house. In the month of September, 2025 when the informant drink cold-drink by name Fanta brought by the accused, she felt unconscious. The accused took disadvantage of this fact and committed sexual intercourse with her and which was videographed in his mobile. Thereafter in the absence of the husband of informant the accused threatened the informant to make her video viral and thereby committed forcible sexual intercourse with her. One Shilpa Sangave was also threatening the informant and asking her to stay away from the accused. The informant failed to succumb the pressure of the accused and lastly on 28.05.2026 she intended to commit suicide by consuming poison. Police recorded her statement and thereafter offence was registered against the accused.

7) The learned APP filed the papers of investigation. Upon perusal of the said papers it discloses that on 31.05.2026 police has recorded the statement wherein the informant

categorically alleged that the accused has committed sexual intercourse with her against her will and wish. Therefore, at this juncture contention of the accused that there was consensual relationship between the informant and the accused can not be taken into consideration. The investigation of the offence is in progress. The offence against the accused is of serious nature. The accused is serving in the military department. The accused and informant resides adjacent to each other. Therefore, if the accused is released on bail, there is every possibility that he will tamper with prosecution evidence under the garb that he is serving in military. Therefore, at this juncture the accused is not entitled to be released on bail. Therefore, considering above aspect I do not find substance in the bail application of the accused. Hence, the order.

ORDER

- 1) The application (Exh.1) for grant of regular bail is hereby rejected.
- 2) As accused is in jail copy of this order be sent to District Jail, Latur by e-mail.

Dt.30.06.2026.

(L. G. Pachchhe)
Additional Sessions Judge, Udgir.
