

Order below Exh. 69 in R.C.S. No. 87/2018

1. The plaintiff has filed present application for substitute service of summons to the defendant no.2/1 on ground that the defendant no. 2/1 is permanent resident on the given address. However, yet the summons is not served to the defendant no.2/1. Therefore, he prayed for service of summons to the defendant no. 2/1 by publishing paper publication. Defendants have failed to file their say. Therefore, application is proceeded without their say.
2. Heard ld advocate for plaintiff. Persued record, application.
3. On issuance of summons, the reports is received unserved on the defendant no.2/1. The plaintiff submitted that, yet the summons is not served to the defendant no.2/1 , though he is permanent resident of on the given address. Futhere the report reveals that, defendant no.2/1 is left his address along with his family and shifted at Pune. Therefore, I satisfied that in regular way service of notice on defendant no. 2/1 is not possible. Hence, order.

-: Order :-

1. The present application is allowed.
2. The plaintiff is permitted to serve summons on the defendant no.2/1, with help of substitute service of summons.
3. Proclamation be published in one daily newspaper circulating in the locality of defendant.

Place :- Renapur.

Date :- 08/05/2026

(D. M. Gitte)

Jt.Civil Judge, Jr.Divn.,Renapur.