

10 CS DJ 425/26

MEENA MITTAL Vs. ASHOK BHUSHAN

07.05.2026

Present : Sh. Kapil Gupta and Sh. Prateek Singh,  
Ld. Counsels for plaintiffs.  
Sh. Arihant Jain, Ld. Counsel for defendant  
no.3.

1. Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of defendant no.3. The same is taken on record.
2. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.3 has stated that defendant no.3 shall not demolish the common staircase and the common wall between the shop No.1 and shop no.2, Defence Colony, Main Market, New Delhi-110024.
3. Separate statement of Ld. Counsel for defendant no.3 recorded to that effect.
4. Vide a separate statement, Ld. Counsel for plaintiffs has dropped defendants no.1 & 2 being the erstwhile owners of shop no.2 from whom the defendant no.3 is stated to have purchased the said shop no.2. In view of separate statement, defendants no.1 & 2 are dropped from the array of parties.
5. Ld. counsel for plaintiffs has submitted that in view of the statement given on behalf of defendant no.3 by the Ld. Counsel for defendant no.3, his relief qua application under Order XXXIX Rule 2 CPC is satisfied and the application can be disposed off in terms thereof.
6. In view of the submissions made as well as statement of Ld. Counsel for defendant no.3, the application under Order XXXIX Rule 2 CPC is disposed off. Defendant no.3 shall remain bound by the statement of his counsel.
7. Both the parties seek some time to file MOU of settlement.
8. List for **23.05.2026**.

(Bhavna Kalia)  
DJ-01 (South East) Saket Court  
New Delhi/07.05.2026 (vg)