

MHLA110011492022



Order below Exh.41 in RCS No.502/2018

Sushama Vs. Lalita

By this application proposed defendants namely Keshav Swarup Patil, Santosh Kishan Mugale, Raman Dnyanoba Chame, Yogiraj Pandhari Sandur, Sachin Hanmantrao Aherkar and Sou. Vandana Harishchandra Pawar have requested to set aside the No say order dated 22/01/2024 passed against them at **Exh.30**, on the grounds stated in the application. Plaintiff has filed her say and raised objections. Perused application and say. Heard both the sides. Considering the nature of application at **Exh.30** and its consequences, if proposed defendants namely Keshav Swarup Patil, Santosh Kishan Mugale, Raman Dnyanoba Chame, Yogiraj Pandhari Sandur, Sachin Hanmantrao Aherkar and Sou. Vandana Harishchandra Pawar are not permitted to file their say, in my opinion, it is necessary to permit proposed defendants to file their say. No prejudice will be caused to plaintiff. On the contrary, it will be helpful to decide the application at **Exh.30** on merits. Hence, I pass following order:-

ORDER

1. No say order dated 22/01/2024 passed against proposed defendants namely Keshav Swarup Patil, Santosh Kishan Mugale, Raman Dnyanoba Chame, Yogiraj Pandhari Sandur, Sachin Hanmantrao Aherkar and Sou.Vandana Harishchandra Pawar at **Exh.30** is set aside and the say filed by them be taken on record.
2. No order as to costs.
3. Necessary order is passed below **Exh.30**.

Date :15/03/2024

(Ashish B. Marlecha)
Civil Judge (Sr.Dn.),
Nilanga, Dist. Latur.