

MHLA110004782022



Order below Exh.1 in Spl.CS No.49/2022

Arpita Vs. Umabai

Plaintiffs and their advocate remained absent when called at 4.00 pm. Plaintiffs failed to secure presence of defendant Nos.2 and 4, whose summons returned unserved long back. No adjournment has been sought. It appears that plaintiffs are not interested in securing presence of defendant Nos.2 and 4. I find no reason to keep the matter pending. Hence, suit is dismissed against defendant Nos.2 and 4 under Order IX Rule 5 CPC.

Date :02/07/2024

(Ashish B. Marlecha)
Civil Judge (Sr.Dn.),
Nilanga, Dist. Latur.