

MHLA110001112015



Order below Exh.1 in RCS No.132/2012

Bapurao Vs. Pandurang

Plaintiff and his advocate remained absent when called at 4.00 pm. Plaintiff failed to take necessary steps for securing presence of defendant No.7/1, defendant No.7/2/3 and defendant No.7/2/4, whose summons returned unserved on 14/03/2023, 03/03/2023 and 05/06/ 2023 respectively. No adjournment has been sought. The suit is pending since year 2012 i.e. from last more than ten years. *Hon'ble Bombay High Court has time and again directed to dispose of matters pending for more than five and ten years on priority basis.* In such circumstances, it is necessary to decide this suit at the earliest. It appears that plaintiff is not interested in securing the presence of defendant No.7/1, defendant No.7/2/3 and defendant No.7/2/4 for the reasons best known to him. Hence, the suit stands dismissed against defendant No.7/1, defendant No.7/2/3 and defendant No.7/2/4 under Order IX rule 5 CPC.

Date : 08/08/2023

(Ashish B. Marlecha)
Civil Judge (Sr.Dn.),
Nilanga, Dist. Latur.