

ORDER BELOW EXH. 166 IN RCS No. 100/2010

(Suryakant Vs Ram)

Read the application and say. Perused the record. Heard Mr. S. A. Sabnis, advocate for defendants. Present application is filed by the plaintiff for permission to produce memory card. Having heard Mr. Sabnis, advocate for defendants it is seen that the plaintiff has filed the same application as like Exh. 165. It is already rejected. Same type of subsequent application is not tenable. Therefore, he has prayed for rejection of the application. Considering the submission, I have perused the record. It reveals that Exh. 165 is rejected on the ground of ambiguity. In present application the plaintiff has submitted that the memory card contains the photographs of a function. Therefore, application is allowed to the extent of production of memory card.

Place : Nilanga.
Date : 17.11.2016.

Sd/-
(G.H. Patil)
Jt. C.J.S.D.,
Nilanga.