

MHLA110000582016



**Order below Exh.110 in RCS No.71/2016**

**Mankarnabai Vs. Anteshwar**

By this application defendant Nos.1 and 2 have requested to put exhibit marks on the original sale deeds filed by them at list below **Exh.108** on the grounds stated in the application. Plaintiff has filed her say and raised strong objections. Perused application and say. Heard learned advocate Shri.P.L.Narhare for defendant Nos.1 and 2. Learned advocate for plaintiff failed to argue. Record shows that defendant No.1 has examined himself as DW No.1 at **Exh.104** and in para No.2 of his chief examination he has deposed regarding the sale transactions. However, the contents of both the sale deeds are not proved as per the provisions of Indian Evidence Act, 1872. Therefore, on failure of defendant Nos.1 and 2 in proving the contents of both the sale deeds filed by them at **Exh.108**, I find no reason to put exhibit marks and pass following order:-

**ORDER**

1. Application is rejected.
2. No order as to costs.

Date :27/03/2024

(Ashish B. Marlecha)  
Civil Judge (Sr.Dn.),  
Nilanga, Dist. Latur.