

Order Below Exh.1

1. Perused the record. It reveals that inadvertently the learned advocate for the plaintiff made additional amendment in the plaint. More particularly, only the name of defendant No.3e - Chhaya Govindrao Wakle was permitted to be impleaded. However, the learned advocate has along with the same carried out additional amendment i.e. she has incorporated another substantive relief of encroachment and in prayer clause as well added the second substantive relief in respect thereto.
2. When the said fact came to know, the learned advocate Smt. P. B. Takle has filed a pursis (Exh.89) and thereby informed the Court that while carrying out amendment inadvertently another relief in prayer clause came to be added as prayer clause 2A. Further she has informed that the said additional amendment be struck off.
3. Considered the entire scene coupled with the oral submissions of learned advocate Smt. Takle. She has admitted the said mistake and apologized as well. The said additional amendment is not yet recognized by signing the Court. Considering the fact that it is being added inadvertently, the same needs to be struck off. Hence, except the addition of name of defendant No.3e - Chhaya Govindrao Wakle entire additional amendment is hereby struck off.
4. Since the case is old enough, that too more than 10 years old, it is expedited. Parties to take note. Order accordingly.

Date : 18.03.2024.
Place : Udgir.

(Bhalchandra R. Zende)
4th Jt. Civil Judge (J.D.),
Udgir.