

MHLA090011752025



R.C.S.No.201/2025.

Rahimkha Vs. Raushanbi and
oths.

ORDER BELOW EXH.9.

The instant matter is kept before the Rashtriya Lok Nyayalaya scheduled on today itself. Both parties along with their respective Advocates appeared before the Panel. The parties have been identified by their respective Advocates. The parties have filed joint compromise memo (Exh.9) and thereby informed that their property dispute has amicably been settled before the Lok Nyayalaya.

2) Perusal of the e-record reveals that, the instant suit is brought seeking relief of partition and separate possession. During verification defendant No.1 Roshanbee was unable even to tell the name of his real brother, in whose father she intends to relinquish her share. Her Advocate stated that she is a deaf lady. However, she being clearly getting the question, "what is the name of your brother ?", still she was unable to tell the name of her brother, who is supposed to be the plaintiff herein. Further defendant No.2 prima-facie appears to be under coercion. In such circumstances, I am not at all satisfied that the parties have arrived at amicable settlement voluntarily. Thus, the terms of compromise cannot be said to be lawful and legally executable. Thus, it needs to be rejected. Hence, rejected. Order accordingly.

Date : 10.05.2025.

(B.R. Zende)
Head of Panel
Lok Nyayalaya &
Jt. Civil Judge J.D., Udgir.