

MHLA070000892026



Order below Exh.6 in Special Case (Pocso)
No.4/2026
(State Vs. Omkar)

A)	Case details :	
1.	FIR number and date	17/2026 Dt.13.01.2026
2.	Police Station, District, State	Police Station Udgir Rural, District Latur, State Maharashtra
3.	Sections invoked	Section 64(1)(2)(l),(M),(f), 65(1) OF B.N.S and Section 4, 6 of POCSO Act.
4.	Maximum punishment prescribed	Life imprisonment.
B)	Custody and procedural compliance :	
1.	Date of Arrest	13.01.2026
2.	Total period of custody undergone	02 Months 11 days.
C)	Status of trial :	
1.	State of proceeding	Hearing before charge
2.	Total number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet.	11
3.	Number of prosecution witnesses examined	Nil
D)	Criminal antecedents :	
1.	FIR and Police Station	N.A.
2.	Sections	N.A.
3.	Status	N.A.
E)	Previous bail applications :	
1.	Court	N.A.
2.	Case number	N.A.
3.	Outcome of case	N.A.
F)	Coercive processes :	
1.	Whether any non-bailable warrant was issued	N.A.
2.	Whether declared a proclaimed offender	N.A.

Order
(Date 24.03.2026)

- 1) The applicant/accused filed present application for regular bail in connection with offence bearing Police Station Udgir Rural Crime No.17/2026 for commission of offence punishable under Section 64(1)(2)(I)(M)(f) and 65(1) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 4 and 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act.

- 2) The applicant/accused claimed that false offence is registered against him. The victim and her mother was not intending to file complaint against him. In spite of it informant police officer filed false complaint to harass them. Under the pressure of police victim took name of the accused. Investigation is completed and charge-sheet is filed. Applicant/accused is ready and willing to furnish the bail. He is resident of Udgir and there is no chance of abscondence. Hence, he prayed for bail.

- 3) Learned APP filed reply (Exh.9) and resisted the bail application. It is claimed that offence is serious and accused should not be released on bail as prima facie involvement of the accused is made out. The victim is closely related with the accused and he may tamper the witness. Considering sensitivity of the matter APP prayed for rejection of application.

..3..

4) In this matter I heard learned APP and learned advocate for accused.

5) In this matter the accused is close blood relative and family member of victim. She was pregnant. She was minor. Victim and her mother refused to file complaint. In this matter the report of DNA is pending with forensic laboratory. As the offence committed within the family of the victim it is not proper to release the accused on bail. There is every possibility of tampering of witnesses. Hence, I proceed to pass following order.

Order

Application (Exh.6) is rejected.

Date : 24.03.2026

(D.B.Mhalatkar)
Additional Sessions Judge, Udgir
